arXiv:1510.04638v1 [math.ST] 15 Oct 2015

Low-rank diffusion matrix estimation for high-dimensional time-changed Lévy processes

Denis Belomestny* and Mathias Trabs†

16th October 2015

Abstract

The estimation of the diffusion matrix Σ of a high-dimensional, possibly time-changed Lévy process is studied, based on discrete observations of the process with a fixed distance. A low-rank condition is imposed on Σ. Applying a spectral approach, we construct a weighted least-squares estimator with nuclear norm penalisation. We prove oracle inequalities and derive convergence rates for the diffusion matrix estimator. The convergence rates show a surprising dependency on the rank of Σ and are optimal in the minimax sense. Theoretical results are illustrated by a simulation study.

Keywords: Volatility estimation, Lasso-type estimator, minimax convergence rates, nonlinear inverse problem, oracle inequalities, time-change Lévy process.

MSC (2010): 60G51, 62G05, 62M05, 62M15.

1 Introduction

Nonparametric statistical inference for Lévy-type processes has been attracting the attention of researchers for many years initiated by the works of Rubin and Tucker (1959) and Basawa and Brockwell (1982). The popularity of Lévy processes is related to their simplicity on the one hand and the ability to reproduce many stylised patterns presented in economic and financial data, on the other hand. While nonparametric inference for one dimensional Lévy processes is nowadays well understood (see e.g. the lecture notes by Belomestny et al., 2015), there are surprisingly few results for multidimensional Lévy or related jump processes. Possible applications demand however for multi- or even high-dimensional methods, for instance, in view of large portfolios and a huge number of assets traded at the stock markets. As a first contribution to statistics for jump processes in high dimensions, we investigate the optimal estimation of the diffusion matrix of a d-dimensional Lévy process where d may grow with the number of observations.

More general, we consider the large class of time-changed Lévy process. Let X be a d-dimensional Lévy process and let $\mathcal{T}$ be a non-negative, non-decreasing stochastic process with $\mathcal{T}(0) = 0$. Then the time-changed Lévy process is defined via $Y_s = X_{\mathcal{T}(s)}$ for $s \geqslant 0$. The change of time can be motivated by the fact that some economical effects (as nervousness of the market which is indicated by volatility) can be better understood in terms of a "business time" which may run faster than the physical one in some periods (see, e.g. Veraart and Winkel, 2010).

Theoretically it is known that even in the case of the Brownian motion X, the resulting class of time-changed Lévy processes is rather large and basically coincides with the class of all semimartingales (Monroe, 1978). Nevertheless, a practical application of this fact for statistical modelling meets two major problems: first, the change of time $\mathcal{T}$ can be highly intricate - for instance, if Y has discontinuous trajectories (see Barndorff-Neilsen and Shiryaev, 2010); second,

*Univerisität Duisburg-Essen and National Research University HSE, Email: denis.belomestny@uni-due.de
†Université Paris-Dauphine, Email: trabs@ceremade.dauphine.fr

the dependence structure between X and $\mathcal{T}$ can be also quite involved. In order to avoid these difficulties and to achieve identifiability, we allow X to be a general Lévy processes and at the same time assume that X is independent of $\mathcal{T}$.

A first natural question is which parameters of the underlying Lévy process X can be identified from discrete observations $Y_0, Y_\Delta, \dots, Y_{n\Delta}$ for some $\Delta > 0$ as $n \to \infty$. This question has been recently addressed in the literature (see Belomestny (2011) and references therein) and the answer turns out to crucially depend on the asymptotic behaviour of Δ and on the degree of our knowledge about $\mathcal{T}$. If the distribution of $\mathcal{T}$ is known, then one can basically identify all parameters of the underlying Lévy process X as $n \to \infty$. Since any Lévy process can be uniquely parametrised by the so-called Lévy triplet (γ, Σ, ν) with a drift vector $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}^d$, a positive semidefinite diffusion (or volatility) matrix $\Sigma \in \mathbb{R}^{d\times d}$ and a jump measure ν, we face a semiparametric estimation problem. The matrix Σ which describes the covariance of the diffusion part of the Lévy process X is of special interest. Aiming for a high dimensional setting, we consider the problem of estimating Σ under the assumption that it has low rank. This low rank condition reflects the idea of a few principal components driving the whole process.

We study the so-called low-frequency regime, meaning that the observation distance Δ is fixed. It has been recently shown that estimation methods coming from low-frequency observations attain also in the high-frequency case, where $\Delta \to 0$, optimal convergence results and thus are robust with respect to the sampling frequency, cf. Jacod and Reiß (2014) for volatility estimation and Nickl et al. (2015) for the estimation of the jump measure. It is very common in the literature on statistics for stochastic processes, that the observations are supposed to be equidistant. In view of the empirical results by Aït-Sahalia and Mykland (2003), this model assumption might however be often violated in financial applications. Our observation scheme can be equivalently interpreted as random observation times $(T(\Delta j))_j$ of the Lévy process X.

The research area on statistical inference for discretely observed stochastic processes is rapidly growing such that we refer only to some related articles. Nonparametric estimation for time-changed Lévy models has been studied by Belomestny (2011); Belomestny and Panov (2013) and Bull (2014). In a two-dimensional setting the jump measure of a Lévy process has been estimated by Bücher and Vetter (2013). For inference on the volatility matrix (in small dimensions) for continuous semi-martingales in a high-frequency regime we refer to Jacod and Podolskij (2013), Bibinger et al. (2014) and references therein. Large sparse volatility matrix estimation for Itô processes has been recently studied by Wang and Zou (2010); Tao et al. (2011) and Tao et al. (2013).

Our statistical problem turns out to be closely related to a kind of deconvolution problem for a multidimensional normal distribution with zero mean and covariance matrix Σ convolved with a nuisance distribution which is unknown except some of its structural properties. Due to the time-change or random sampling, respectively, the normal vector is additionally multiplied with a nonnegative random variable. Hence, we face a covariance estimation problem in a generalised mixture model. Since we have no direct access to a sample of the underlying normal distribution, our situation is essentially different from what has been studied in the literature on high-dimensional covariance matrix estimation so far.

The analysis of many deconvolution and mixture models becomes more transparent in spectral domain. Our accordingly reformulated problem bears some similarity to the so-called trace regression problem and the closely related matrix completion problem, which recently got a lot of attention in statistical literature (see, e.g. Rohde and Tsybakov (2011), Negahban and Wainwright (2011), Agarwal et al. (2012) as well as Koltchinskii et al. (2011)). We face a non-linear analogue to the estimation of low-rank matrices based on noisy observations. Adapting the some ideas from this literature, we construct a weighted least squares estimator with nuclear norm penalisation in the spectral domain. Our methodology can also be applied to the estimation of large covariance matrices in general deconvolution problems, where we need not to assume complete knowledge of the error distribution but only some of its structural properties (like the decay of the characteristic function). The latter statistical problem has not yet been addressed in the literature and this work can be seen as a first contribution to this area.

On theoretical side, we prove oracle inequalities for the estimation of Σ which imply that the

estimator adapts to the low-rank condition on Σ. The resulting convergence rates fundamentally depend on the time-change while the dimension may grow polynomially in the sample size. Lower bounds verify that the rates are optimal in the minimax sense. The influence of the rank of Σ on the convergence rate reveals a new phenomenon which was not observed in multi-dimensional nonparametric statistics before. Namely, in a certain regime the convergence rate in n is faster for a larger rank of Σ. To understand this surprising dimension effect from a more abstract perspective, we briefly discuss a related regression model.

The paper is organised as follows. In Section 2, we introduce notations and formulate our statistical problem. In Section 3 the estimator for the diffusion matrix is constructed and the oracle inequalities for this estimator are derived. Based on these oracle inequalities, we derive in Section 4 the minimax convergence rates and study their optimality. Section 5 is devoted to some extensions, including mixing time-changes and the related trace-regression model. Some numerical examples can be found in Section 6. In Sections 7 and 8 the proofs of the oracle inequalities and of the minimax convergence rates, respectively, are collected. The appendix contains some (uniform) concentration results for multivariate characteristic functions.

Acknowledgement. The authors thank Markus Reiß for helpful comments. D.B. acknowledges the financial support from the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) through the SFB 823 "Statistical modelling of nonlinear dynamic processes". M.T. gratefully acknowledges the financial support by the DFG research fellowship TR 1349/1-1.

2 Main setup

Recall that a random time change $\{\mathcal{T}(t) : t \geqslant 0\}$ is an increasing right-continuous process with left limits such that $\mathcal{T}(0) = 0$. For each t we have that $\mathcal{T}(t)$ is a stopping time with respect to underlying filtration. For a Lévy process $X = \{X_t : t \geqslant 0\}$ the time-changed Lévy process is given by $X_{\mathcal{T}(t)}, t \geqslant 0$. We throughout assume that $\mathcal{T}$ is independent of X. By reparametrising the time change we can assume without loss of generality that $\Delta = 1$ and that the observations are thus given by the increments

$$Y_j := X_{\mathcal{T}(j)} - X_{\mathcal{T}(j-1)}, \quad j = 1, \dots, n,$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Note that in general the observations are not independent, in contrast to the special case of low-frequently observed Lévy processes. The estimation procedure relies on the following crucial insight: If the sequence

$$T_j := \mathcal{T}(j) - \mathcal{T}(j-1), \quad j = 1, \dots, n,$$

is stationary and admits an invariant measure π, then the independence of $\mathcal{T}$ and X together with the Lévy-Khintchine formula yield that the observations Y_j have a common characteristic function given by

$$\varphi(u) := \mathbb{E}\big[e^{i\langle Y_j, u\rangle}\big] = \int_0^\infty \mathbb{E}[e^{i\langle u, X_t\rangle}]\pi(\mathrm{d}t) = \int_0^\infty e^{t\psi(u)}\pi(\mathrm{d}t) = \mathscr{L}(-\psi(u)), \quad u \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

where $\mathscr{L}$ is the Laplace transform of π and where the characteristic exponent is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(u) &= -\frac{1}{2}\langle u, \Sigma u\rangle + i\langle \gamma, u\rangle + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \big(e^{i\langle x,u\rangle} - 1 - i\langle x, u\rangle \mathbb{1}_{\{|x|\leqslant 1\}}(x)\big)\mathrm{d}\nu(x) \\ &=: -\frac{1}{2}\langle u, \Sigma u\rangle + \Psi(u), \quad u \in \mathbb{R}^d, \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

for some drift parameter $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and jump measure ν. If $\gamma = 0$ and $\nu = 0$, we end up with the problem of estimating a covariance matrix. The function Ψ in (2.1) appears due to the presence of jumps and can be viewed as a nuisance parameter. Let us give some examples of typical time-changes and their Laplace transforms.

Examples 2.1.

(i) *Low-frequency observations* of X_t with observation distance $\Delta > 0$:

$$T_j \sim \pi = \delta_\Delta, \quad \mathscr{L}(z) = e^{-\Delta z}.$$

(ii) *Poisson process* time-change or exponential waiting times with intensity parameter $\Delta > 0$:

$$T_j \sim \pi = Exp(\Delta), \quad \mathscr{L}(z) = \frac{\Delta}{z+\Delta}.$$

(iii) *Gamma process* time-change with parameters $\Delta, \vartheta > 0$:

$$T_j \sim \pi = \Gamma(\vartheta, \Delta), \quad \mathscr{L}(z) = (1 + z/\Delta)^{-\vartheta}.$$

(iv) *Integrated CIR-process* for some $\eta, \kappa, \xi > 0$ such that $2\kappa\eta > \xi^2$ (which has α-mixing increments, cf. Masuda (2007)):

$$\mathcal{T}(t) = \int_0^t Z_t \mathrm{d}t \quad \text{with} \quad \mathrm{d}Z_t = \kappa(\eta - Z_t)\mathrm{d}t + \xi\sqrt{Z_t}\mathrm{d}W_t,$$
$$\mathscr{L}(z) \sim \exp\big(-\frac{\sqrt{2z}}{\xi}(1+\kappa\eta)\big) \text{ as } |z| \to \infty \text{ with } \operatorname{Re} z \geqslant 0.$$

Recalling the Frobenius or trace inner product $\langle A, B\rangle := \operatorname{tr}(A^\top B)$ for matrices $A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{d\times d}$, we have $u^\top \Sigma u = \langle uu^\top, \Sigma\rangle$. If $\widehat{\psi}_n(u)$ is an estimator of the characteristic exponent, estimating the low-rank matrix Σ can thus be reformulated as the regression problem

$$\frac{\widehat{\psi}_n(u)}{|u|^2} = -\frac{1}{2}\langle \Theta(u), \Sigma\rangle + \frac{\Psi(u)}{|u|^2} + \frac{\widehat{\psi}_n(u) - \psi(u)}{|u|^2} \quad \text{with} \quad \Theta(u) := \frac{uu^\top}{|u|^2} \in \mathbb{R}^{d\times d}, \tag{2.2}$$

where we have normalised the whole formula by the factor $|u|^{-2}$. The design matrix $vv^\top$ for an arbitrary unit vector $v \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is deterministic and degenerated. The second term in (2.2) is a deterministic error which will be small only for large u. The last term reflects the stochastic error. Due to the identification via the Laplace transform $\mathscr{L}$, the estimation problem is nonlinear and turns out to be ill-posed: the stochastic error grows for large frequencies.

Before we construct the volatility matrix estimator in the next section, we should introduce some notation. For any matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{d\times d}$ and $p \in (0, \infty]$, the Schatten-p norm of A is given by

$$\|A\|_p := \Big(\sum_{i=1}^d \sigma_j(A)^p\Big)^{1/p}$$

with $\sigma_1(A) \geq \ldots \geq \sigma_d(A)$ being the singular values of A. In particular, $\|A\|_1$, $\|A\|_2$ and $\|A\|_\infty$ denote the nuclear, the Frobenius and the spectral norm of A, respectively. We will frequently apply the trace duality property

$$|\operatorname{tr}(AB)| \leqslant \|A\|_1 \|B\|_\infty, \quad A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{d\times d}.$$

For any matrices $A \in \mathbb{R}^{k\times k}, B \in \mathbb{R}^{l\times l}, k, l \in \mathbb{N}$, we write

$$\operatorname{diag}(A, B) := \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{(k+l)\times(k+l)}.$$

For $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ we write $a \lesssim b$ if there is a constant C independent of n, d and the involved parameters such that $a \leqslant Cb$.

3 The estimator and oracle inequalities

The natural estimator of φ is given by the empirical characteristic function

$$\varphi_n(u) := \frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n} e^{i\langle u, Y_j\rangle}, \quad u \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

which is consistent whenever $(Y_j)_{j\geqslant 0}$ is ergodic. Even more, it concentrates around the true characteristic function with parametric rate uniformly on compact sets, cf. Theorems A.2 and A.4 in the appendix. In order to obtain an estimator for the characteristic exponent, we throughout assume that the time-change and consequently its Laplace transform are known. A plug-in approach yields the estimator for the characteristic exponent given by

$$\widehat{\psi}_n(u) := -\mathscr{L}^{-1}(\varphi_n(u)), \tag{3.1}$$

where $\mathscr{L}^{-1}$ denotes a continuously chosen inverse of the map $\{\operatorname{Re} z > 0\} \ni z \mapsto \mathscr{L}(v) \in \mathbb{C}\setminus\{0\}$. Since Σ only appears in the real part of the characteristic exponent, we may use $\operatorname{Re}(\widehat{\psi}_n)$.

Remark 3.1. Belomestny (2011) has considered the case of an unknown time-change, but the estimation method then relies heavily on independence of the components of X. Based on noisy high-frequency observations, Bull (2014) has estimated the time-change, too. In the context of random observation times it is reasonable to suppose that we additionally observe $\mathcal{T}(1), \dots, \mathcal{T}(n)$. Using empirical process theory, it can be shown that

$$\mathscr{L}_n(z) := \frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n} e^{-z(\mathcal{T}(j)-\mathcal{T}(j-1))}$$

converges uniformly to $\mathscr{L}(z)$ for $z \in \mathbb{R}_+$ with $\sqrt{n}$-rate as exploit by Chorowski and Trabs (2015). This result could be generalised to appropriate arguments on the complex plane.

To define the volatility estimator, we recall the regression formula (2.2) with the design matrix $\Theta(u) = uu^\top/|u|^2$ for any $u \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Choosing a regularisation parameter $\lambda > 0$ and a spectral cut-off $U > 1$, we introduce the penalised least squares type estimator

$$\widehat{\Sigma}_{n,\lambda} := \operatorname*{arg\,min}_{M\in\mathbb{M}} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \big(2|u|^{-2}\operatorname{Re}\widehat{\psi}_n(u) + \langle \Theta(u), M\rangle\big)^2 w_U(u)\,\mathrm{d}u + \lambda\|M\|_1 \right\} \tag{3.2}$$

where $\mathbb{M}$ is a subset of positive semi-definite $d\times d$ matrices and with weight function w_U. We impose the following standing assumption:

Assumption A. For some radial nonnegative function $w\colon \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which is supported on the annulus $\{1/4 < |u| \leqslant 1/2\}$ and any $U > 1$ let $w_U(u) = U^{-d}w(u/U), u \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

In the special case of a Lévy process with a finite jump activity $\alpha := \nu(\mathbb{R}^d) \in [0,\infty)$, we can write remainder from (2.1) as

$$\Psi(u) = i\langle \gamma_0, u\rangle + \mathcal{F}\nu(u) - \alpha, \quad u \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

for $\gamma_0 := \gamma - \int_{|u|\leqslant 1} x\nu(\mathrm{d}x)$. Since the Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}\nu(u)$ converges to zero as $|u|\to\infty$ under some regularity assumption on the finite measure ν, we can reduce the bias of our estimator by the following modification

$$\begin{aligned}(\widetilde{\Sigma}_{n,\lambda}, \widetilde{\alpha}_{n,\lambda}) &:= \operatorname*{arg\,min}_{M\in\mathbb{M}, a\in I} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \big(\frac{2}{|u|^2}\operatorname{Re}(\widehat{\psi}_n(u) + a) + \langle \Theta(u), M\rangle\big)^2 w_U(u)\,\mathrm{d}u + \lambda\big(\|M\|_1 + \frac{a}{U^2}\big) \right\} \\ &= \operatorname*{arg\,min}_{M\in\mathbb{M}, a\in I} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \big(\frac{2}{|u|^2}\operatorname{Re}\widehat{\psi}_n(u) + \langle \widetilde{\Theta}(u), \operatorname{diag}(M, \frac{a}{U^2})\rangle\big)^2 w_U(u)\,\mathrm{d}u + \lambda(\|M\|_1 + \frac{a}{U^2}) \right\}\end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

with

$$\widetilde{\Theta}(u) := \widetilde{\Theta}_U(u) = \operatorname{diag}\Big(\Theta(u), \frac{2U^2}{|u|^2}\Big), \quad u \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

and some interval $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}_+$. The most interesting cases are $I = \{0\}$, where $\widehat{\Sigma}_{n,\lambda}$ and $\widetilde{\Sigma}_{n,\lambda}$ coincide, and $I = [0, \infty)$. The factor U^{-2} in front of a is natural, since the ill-posedness of the estimation problem for the jump activity is two degrees larger than for estimating the volatility, cf. Belomestny and Reiß (2006). As a side product, $\widetilde{\alpha}_{n,\lambda}$ is an estimator for the jump intensity. By penalising large a, the estimator $\widetilde{\alpha}_{n,\lambda}$ is pushed back to zero if the least squares part cannot profit from a finite a. It thus coincides with the convention to set $\alpha = 0$ in the infinite intensity case.

Our estimators $\widehat{\Sigma}_{n,\lambda}$ and $\widetilde{\Sigma}_{n,\lambda}$ are related to the weighted scalar product

$$\begin{aligned}\big\langle (A,a),(B,b)\big\rangle_w &:= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle \widetilde{\Theta}(u), \operatorname{diag}(A,a)\rangle \langle \widetilde{\Theta}(u), \operatorname{diag}(B,b)\rangle w_U(u)\,\mathrm{d}u \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Big(\langle \Theta(u), A\rangle + \frac{2U^2}{|u|^2}a\Big)\Big(\langle \Theta(u), B\rangle + \frac{2U^2}{|u|^2}b\Big) w_U(u)\mathrm{d}u\end{aligned}$$

with the usual notation $\|(A,a)\|_w^2 := \langle (A,a),(A,a)\rangle_w$. For convenience we abbreviate $\|A\|_w := \|(A,0)\|_w$. The scalar product $\langle \cdot,\cdot\rangle_w$ is the counterpart to the empirical scalar product in the matrix completion literature. It might be surprising that the *restricted isometry property* is automatically fulfilled. The proof is postponed to Section 7.1.

Lemma 3.2. *For any positive semi-definite matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{d\times d}$ and any $a \geqslant 0$, it holds*

$$\|(A,a)\|_w^2 \geqslant \varkappa_w^2\big(\|A\|_2^2 + a^2\big) = \varkappa_w^2 \|\operatorname{diag}(A,a)\|_2^2 \tag{3.4}$$

where $\varkappa_w := \big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} v_1^4/(2|v|^4) w(v)\mathrm{d}v\big)^{1/2} \wedge 8$.

Using well-known calculations from the Lasso literature, we obtain the following elementary oracle inequality, which is proven in Section 7.2. The condition $\alpha \in I$ is trivially satisfied for $I = \mathbb{R}_+$.

Proposition 3.3. *Let $\mathbb{M} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{d\times d}$ be an arbitrary subset of matrices and define*

$$\mathcal{R}_n := 2\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Big(\frac{2}{|u|^2}\operatorname{Re}\widehat{\psi}_n(u) - \langle \widetilde{\Theta}(u), \operatorname{diag}(\Sigma, \frac{\alpha}{U^2})\rangle\Big)\widetilde{\Theta}(u) w_U(u)\,\mathrm{d}u \in \mathbb{R}^{(d+1)\times(d+1)}, \tag{3.5}$$

where we set $\alpha = 0$ if $\nu(\mathbb{R}^d) = \infty$. Suppose $\alpha \in I$. On the event $\{\|\mathcal{R}_n\|_\infty \leqslant \lambda\}$ for some $\lambda > 0$ we have

$$\|(\widetilde{\Sigma}_{n,\lambda} - \Sigma, U^{-2}(\widetilde{\alpha}_{n,\lambda} - \alpha))\|_w^2 \leqslant \inf_{M\in\mathbb{M}} \big\{\|M - \Sigma\|_w^2 + 2\lambda(\|M\|_1 + U^{-2}\alpha)\big\}. \tag{3.6}$$

If the set $\mathbb{M}$ is convex, then subdifferential calculus can be used to refine the inequality (3.6). The proof is inspired by Koltchinskii et al. (2011, Thm. 1) and postponed to Section 7.3. Note that this second oracle inequality improves (3.6) with respect to two aspects. Instead of λ we have λ^2 in the second term on the right-hand side and the nuclear-norm of M is replaced by its rank.

Theorem 3.4. *Suppose that $\mathbb{M} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{d\times d}$ is a convex subset of the positive semidefinite matrices and let $\alpha \in I$. On the event $\{\|\mathcal{R}_n\|_\infty \leqslant \lambda\}$ for some $\lambda > 0$ and for $\mathcal{R}_n$ from (3.5) the estimators $\widetilde{\Sigma}_{n,\lambda}$ and $\widetilde{\alpha}_{n,\lambda}$ from (3.3) satisfy*

$$\begin{aligned}&\big\|\operatorname{diag}\big(\widetilde{\Sigma}_{n,\lambda} - \Sigma, U^{-2}(\widetilde{\alpha}_{n,\lambda} - \alpha)\big)\big\|_w^2 \\ &\qquad \leqslant \inf_{M\in\mathbb{M}} \Big\{\|M - \Sigma\|_w^2 + (\tfrac{1+\sqrt{2}}{2\varkappa_w})^2\lambda^2(\operatorname{rank}(M) + \mathbb{1}_{\alpha\neq 0})\Big\}\end{aligned}$$

where $\varkappa_w := \big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} v_1^4/(2|v|^4) w(v)\mathrm{d}v\big)^{1/2} \wedge 8$.

Combining Lemma 3.2 and Theorem 3.4 immediately yields an upper bound for the error in the Frobenius norm.

Corollary 3.5. *Let $\mathbb{M} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{d\times d}$ be a convex subset of the positive semidefinite matrices containing Σ and let $\alpha \in I$. On the event $\{\|\mathcal{R}_n\|_\infty \leqslant \lambda\}$ for some $\lambda > 0$ and for $\mathcal{R}_n$ from (3.5) we have*

$$\|\widetilde{\Sigma}_{n,\lambda} - \Sigma\|_2 + U^{-2}|\widetilde{\alpha}_{n,\lambda} - \alpha| \leqslant \Big(\frac{1+\sqrt{2}}{2\varkappa_w}\Big)\lambda\sqrt{\operatorname{rank}(\Sigma) + \mathbb{1}_{\alpha\neq 0}}.$$

The question how the parameters U and λ should be chosen in order to control the event $\{\|\mathcal{R}_n\|_\infty \leqslant \lambda\}$ will be settled in the next section.

4 Minimax convergence rates

To apply the above oracle inequalities, we have to find some λ and U such that probability of the event $\{\|\mathcal{R}_n\|_\infty \leqslant \lambda\}$ with the error term $\mathcal{R}_n$ from (3.5) is large. To this end we need to impose some assumptions on the Lévy process X and on the time-change $\mathcal{T}$:

Assumption B. Let the jump measure ν of X fulfil:

(i) for some $s \in (-2, \infty)$ and for some constant $C_\nu > 0$ let

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha := 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{|h|=1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\langle x, h\rangle|^{|s|}\, \nu(dx) \leqslant C_\nu, \qquad & \text{if } s < 0, \\ \alpha := \nu(\mathbb{R}^d) < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad |\mathcal{F}\nu(u)|^2 \leqslant C_\nu(1+|u|^2)^{-s}, u \in \mathbb{R}^d, \qquad & \text{if } s \geqslant 0. \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

(ii) $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x|^p \nu(\mathrm{d}x) < \infty$ for some $p > 0$.

Assumption C. Let the time-change $\mathcal{T}$ satisfy:

(i) $\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{T}^p(1)] < \infty$ for some $p > 0$.

(ii) The sequence $T_j = \mathcal{T}(j) - \mathcal{T}(j-1)$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$, is mutually independent and identically distributed with some law π on $\mathbb{R}_+$.

(iii) The Laplace transform $\mathscr{L}(z) = \int_0^\infty e^{-tz}\pi(\mathrm{d}t)$ satisfies $|\mathscr{L}''_\Delta(z)/\mathscr{L}'_\Delta(z)| \leqslant C_L$ for some $C_L > 0$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\mathrm{Re}(z) > 0$.

If the Laplace transform decays polynomially, we may impose the stronger assumption

(iv) $|\mathscr{L}''_\Delta(z)/\mathscr{L}'(z)| \leqslant C_L(1+|z|)^{-1}$ for some $C_L > 0$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\mathrm{Re}(z) > 0$.

Let us briefly discuss these assumptions. For $s \in (-2, 0)$ Assumption B(i) allows for Lévy processes with infinite jump activity. In that case $|s|$ in (4.1) is an upper bound for the Blumenthal–Getoor index of the process. A more pronounced singularity of the jump measure ν at zero corresponds to larger values of $|s|$. The lower bound $s > -2$ is natural, since any jump measure satisfies $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}(|x|^2 \wedge 1)\nu(\mathrm{d}x)$. On the contrary, $s = 0$ in (4.1) implies that ν is a finite measure. In that case we could further profit from its regularity which we measure by the decay of its Fourier transform. Altogether, the parameter s will determine the approximation error that is due to $|u|^{-2}\Psi(u)$ in (2.2).

Assumption B(ii) implies that $\mathbb{E}[|X_t|^p] < \infty$ for all $t > 0$ and together with the moment condition Assumption C(i), we conclude that $\mathbb{E}[|Y_k|^p] < \infty$, cf. Lemma 8.1. Assumption C(ii) implies that the increments $Y_j = X_{\mathcal{T}(j)} - X_{\mathcal{T}(j-1)}$ are independent and identically distributed. Note that (T_j) being stationary with invariant measure π is necessary to construct the estimator of Σ. The independence can, however, be relaxed to an α-mixing condition as discussed in Section 5.1. Finally, Assumptions C(iii) and (iv) are used to linearise the stochastic error.

In the sequel we denote by $B_U^d := \{u \in \mathbb{R}^d : |u| \leqslant U\}$ the d-dimensional ball of radius $U > 0$.

Theorem 4.1. *Grant Assumptions B and C(i)-(iii). If also Assumption C(iv) is fulfilled, then we set $q = 1$ and otherwise let $q = 0$. Then for any $n, d \in \mathbb{N}$ and $U \geqslant 1, \kappa > 0$ satisfying*

$$\sqrt{d\log(d+1)}(\log U) \leqslant \kappa \leqslant \sqrt{n}U^{-d/2}\|\varphi\|^{1/2}_{L^1(B_U^d)} \frac{\inf_{|u|\leqslant U}|\psi(u)|^q|\mathscr{L}'(-\psi(u))|^2}{\inf_{|u|\leqslant U}|\mathscr{L}'(-\psi(u))|},$$

the matrix $\mathcal{R}_n$ from (3.5) satisfies for some constants $c, D > 0$ depending only on w, C_ν and C_L

$$\mathbb{P}\Big(\|\mathcal{R}_n\|_\infty \geq \frac{\kappa\|\varphi\|^{1/2}_{L^1(B_U^d)}}{\sqrt{n}U^{2+d/2}\inf_{|u|\leqslant U}|\mathscr{L}'(-\psi(u))|} + DU^{-(s+2)}\Big) \leqslant 2(d+1)e^{-c\kappa^2}.$$

In particular, $\mathbb{P}(\|\mathcal{R}_n\|_\infty > \lambda) \leqslant 2(d+1)e^{-c\kappa^2}$ if

$$\lambda \geqslant \frac{\kappa\|\varphi\|^{1/2}_{L^1(B_U^d)}}{\sqrt{n}U^{2+d/2}\inf_{|u|\leqslant U}|\mathscr{L}'(-\psi(u))|} + DU^{-(s+2)}.$$

In order to prove this theorem, we decompose $\mathcal{R}_n$ into a stochastic error term and a deterministic approximation error. More precisely, the regression formula (2.2) and $\|\Theta(u)\|_\infty = \max\{1, 2U^2|u|^{-2}\} \leqslant 1$ for any $u \in \operatorname{supp} w_U$ yield

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mathcal{R}_n\|_\infty \leqslant & 4\Big\|\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|u|^{-2}\operatorname{Re}\big(\mathscr{L}^{-1}(\varphi_n(u)) - \mathscr{L}^{-1}(\varphi(u))\big)\widetilde{\Theta}(u)w_U(u)\mathrm{d}u\Big\|_\infty \\ & + 4\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\frac{|\operatorname{Re}\Psi(u)+\alpha|}{|u|^2}w_U(u)\mathrm{d}u.\end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

The order of the deterministic error is U^{-s-2}, cf. Lemma 8.3, which decays as $U \to \infty$. The rate deteriorates if there is a higher jump activity. This is reasonable since even for high frequency observations it is very difficult to distinguish between small jumps and fluctuations due to the diffusion component, cf. Jacod and Reiß (2014). The stochastic error is dominated by its linearisation

$$\Big\|\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\frac{1}{|u|^2}\operatorname{Re}\Big(\frac{\varphi_n(u)-\varphi(u)}{\mathscr{L}'(-\psi(u))}\Big)\widetilde{\Theta}(u)w_U(u)\mathrm{d}u\Big\|_\infty,$$

which is increasing in U because we divide by $|u|^2\mathscr{L}'(-\psi(u)) \to 0$ as $|u| \to \infty$. To obtain a sharp oracle inequality for the spectral norm of the linearised stochastic error, we use the noncommutative Bernstein inequality by Recht (2011). To bound the remainder, we apply a concentration result (Theorem A.4) for the empirical characteristic function around φ, uniformly on B_U^d.

The lower bound on κ reflects the typical dependence on the dimension d that also appear is in theory on matrix completion, cf. Corollary 2 by Koltchinskii et al. (2011). Our upper bound on κ ensures that the remainder term in the stochastic error is negligible.

The choice of U is determined by the typical trade-off between approximation error and stochastic error. The only term that depends on the dimension is $E_U := U^{-d}\|\varphi\|_{L^1(B_U^d)}$. Owing to $\|\varphi\|_\infty \leqslant 1$, it is uniformly bounded by the volume of the unit ball B_1^d in $\mathbb{R}^d$ which in turn is uniformly bounded in d (in fact it is decreasing as $d \to \infty$). If $\varphi \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, we even have $E_U \lesssim U^{-d}$. We will discuss this quite surprising factor further after Corollary 4.3 and investigate it from a more abstract perspective in Section 5.3.

For specific decay behaviours of $\mathscr{L}(z)$ we can now conclude convergence rates for the diffusion matrix estimator. In contrast to the nonparametric estimation of the coefficients of a diffusion process, as studied by Chorowski and Trabs (2015), the convergence rates depend enormously on the sampling distribution (resp. time-change). An exponential decay leads to a severely ill-posed problem and thus the rates are only logarithmic in n. This is especially the case if the Lévy process X is observed at equidistant time points Δj for some $\Delta > 0$ and thus $\mathscr{L}(v) = e^{\Delta v}$.

Corollary 4.2. *Grant Assumptions B and C(i)-(iii). Suppose that $0 \neq \Sigma \in \mathbb{M}$, where $\mathbb{M}$ is a convex subset of positive semi-definite $d \times d$ matrices and let $\alpha \in I$. If $|\mathscr{L}'(z)| \gtrsim \exp(-a|z|^\eta)$ for $z \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\mathrm{Re}(z) > 0$ and for some $a, \eta > 0$ we choose*

$$U = \Big(\frac{\tau \log(n)}{a(\|\Sigma\|_\infty + C_\nu + \alpha)}\Big)^{1/(2\eta)} \vee 2 \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda = \big(\|\Sigma\|_\infty + C_\nu + \alpha\big)^{(s+2)/(2\eta)} (\log n)^{-(s+2)/(2\eta)}$$

for some $\tau < 1/2$. Then there are constants $C_1, C_2, c > 0$, independent of γ, Σ and ν, such that for any $\kappa \in \big(\sqrt{d \log(d+1)}(\log\log n), n^{1/2-\tau}\big)$ we have

$$\|\widetilde{\Sigma}_{n,\lambda} - \Sigma\|_2 \leqslant C_1 \big(\|\Sigma\|_\infty + C_\nu + \alpha\big)^{(s+2)/(2\eta)} \sqrt{\mathrm{rank}(\Sigma)} (\log n)^{-(s+2)/(2\eta)}$$

with probability larger than $1 - 2(d+1)e^{-c\kappa^2}$.

The assertion follows from Corollary 3.5 and Theorem 4.1, taking into account that due to (2.1) (and (8.1)) we have

$$\sup_{|u| \leqslant U} |\psi(u)| \leqslant \|\Sigma\|_\infty U^2/2 + 2C_\nu U^{-(s \wedge 0)} + \alpha \leqslant \frac{U^2}{2}\big(\|\Sigma\|_\infty + C_\nu + \alpha\big).$$

The convergence rate in the previous corollary does not depend on the dimension whenever there is some $\rho \in (0,1)$ such that $d \lesssim n^\rho$. The bound is completely non-asymptotic and adaptive with respect to the rank of Σ.

If $\mathscr{L}'$ decays only polynomially, as for instance for the gamma subordinator, cf. Examples 2.1, the estimation problem is only mildly ill-posed and the convergence rates are polynomial in n.

Corollary 4.3. *Grant Assumptions B and C(i)-(iv), let $\mathbb{M}$ be a convex subset of positive semi-definite $d \times d$ matrices. Suppose that $0 \neq \Sigma \in \mathbb{M}$ with $\mathrm{rank}\,\Sigma = k$ and $\alpha \in I$. Denote the smallest positive eigenvalue of Σ by $\lambda_{min}(\Sigma)$. If $|\mathscr{L}(z)| \lesssim (1+|z|)^{-\gamma}$ and $|\mathscr{L}'(z)| \gtrsim |z|^{-\gamma-1}$ for $z \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\mathrm{Re}(z) > 0$ and for some $\gamma > 0$, then the choices*

$$U = n^{1/(2s+4+4\gamma-(2\gamma \wedge k))}, \quad \lambda = \kappa\big(\|\Sigma\|_\infty + C_\nu\big)^\gamma \lambda_{min}(\Sigma)^{-(2\gamma \wedge k)/2} n^{-(s+2)/(2s+4+4\gamma-(2\gamma \wedge k))}$$

yield for any $\kappa \in (\sqrt{d\log(d+1)}(\log n), n^{-(s+2-(2\gamma \wedge k))/(2s+4+4\gamma-(2\gamma \wedge k))})$ and any $n \geqslant 1$ such that $U > \lambda_{min}(\Sigma)^{-1/2}$ that

$$\|\widetilde{\Sigma}_{n,\lambda} - \Sigma\|_2 \leqslant \kappa\big(\|\Sigma\|_\infty + C_\nu\big)^\gamma \lambda_{min}(\Sigma)^{-(2\gamma \wedge k)/2} \sqrt{\mathrm{rank}(\Sigma)} n^{-(s+2)/(2(s+2)+4\gamma-(2\gamma \wedge k))},$$

with probability larger than $1 - 2(d+1)e^{-c\kappa^2}$. The constants C_1, C_2 and c are independent of γ, Σ and ν.

Remark 4.4.

(i) The convergence rate reflects the regularity $s+2$ and the degree of ill-posedness $2\gamma = 2(\gamma+1) - 2$ of the statistical inverse problem, where $2(\gamma+1)$ is the decay rate of the characteristic function and we gain two degrees since $\langle u, \Sigma u\rangle$ grows like $|u|^2$. The term $-(2\gamma) \wedge k$ appearing in the denominator is very surprising because the rates become faster as the rank of Σ increases up to some critical threshold value 2γ. To see this, it remains to note that the assumption $\mathrm{rank}\,\Sigma = k$ yields

$$\begin{aligned} U^{-d}\|\varphi\|_{L^1(B_U^d)} =& U^{-d} \int_{|u| \leqslant U} |\mathscr{L}(-\psi(u))| \mathrm{d}u \\ \lesssim& U^{-k} \int_{u \in \mathbb{R}^k : |u| \leqslant U} |\mathscr{L}(-\lambda_{min}(\Sigma)|u|^2)| \mathrm{d}u \\ \lesssim& (\sqrt{\lambda_{min}(\Sigma)}U)^{-k} \big((\sqrt{\lambda_{min}(\Sigma)}U)^{-2\gamma+k} \vee 1\big). \end{aligned}$$

In order to shed some light on this phenomenon, we consider a related regression problem in Section 5.3.

(ii) It is interesting to note that for very small γ we almost attain the parametric rate. Having the example of gamma-distributed increments T_j in mind, a small γ corresponds to measures π which are highly concentrated at the origin. In that case we expect many quite small increments $X_{\mathcal{T}(j)} - X_{\mathcal{T}(j-1)}$ where the jump component has only a small effect. On the other hand, for the remaining few rather large increments, the estimator is not worse than in a purely low-frequency setting. Hence, $|\mathscr{L}(z)| \sim (1+|z|)^{-\gamma}$ heuristically corresponds to an interpolation between high- and low-frequency observations.

(iii) If the condition $s+2 \geqslant 2\gamma \wedge \operatorname{rank}\Sigma$ is not satisfied, the linearised stochastic error appears to be smaller than the remainder of the linearsation. It that case we only obtain the presumably suboptimal rate $n^{-(s+2)/(s+2+4\gamma)}$. It is still an open problem whether this condition is only an artefact of our proofs or if there is some intrinsic reason.

Let us now prove that the rates are optimal in the minimax sense up to logarithmic factors. The dependence on the dimension d and the rank of Σ, namely the factors $\sqrt{\operatorname{rank}\Sigma}$ and $\sqrt{d\log d}\sqrt{\operatorname{rank}\Sigma}$ that occur in the upper bounds in the corollaries 4.2 and 4.3, respectively, is known from the matrix completion literature. Koltchinskii et al. (2011, Thm. 6) have shown that a factor $\sqrt{d\operatorname{rank}\Sigma}$ is unavoidable for matrix completion. In the following we focus on lower bounds for the rate of convergence in the number of observations n.

Let us introduce the class $\mathfrak{S}(s,p,C_\nu)$ of all Lévy measures satisfying Assumption B with $s \in (-2,\infty), p > 2$ and a constant $C_\nu > 0$. In order to prove sharp lower bounds, we need the following stronger assumptions on the time change:

Assumption D. Grant (i) and (ii) from Assumption C. For $C_L > 0$ and $L \in \mathbb{N}$ let the Laplace transform $\mathscr{L}(z)$ satisfy

(m) for some $\gamma > 0$ and all $z > 0$

$$|\mathscr{L}'(z)| \leqslant C_L(1+|z|)^{-\gamma-1}, \quad |\mathscr{L}^{(l+1)}(z)/\mathscr{L}^{(l)}(z)| \leqslant C_L(1+|z|)^{-1}, \quad l = 1,\dots,L,$$

or

(s) for some $\gamma, \eta > 0$ and all $z \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\operatorname{Re}(z) > 0$

$$|\mathscr{L}'(z)| \leqslant C_L e^{-\gamma|z|^\eta}, \quad |\mathscr{L}^{(l+1)}(z)/\mathscr{L}^{(l)}(z)| \leqslant C_L, \quad l = 1,\dots,L.$$

Theorem 4.5. *Let $s \in (-2,\infty), p > 2, C_\nu > 0$ and $k \in \{1,\dots,d\}$.*

(i) Suppose $2\gamma > k$ and $k \leqslant s$. Under Assumption D(m) with $L > \frac{k+p\vee(-s)}{2} \vee k$, it holds for any $\varepsilon > 0$ that

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} \inf_{\widehat{\Sigma}} \sup_{\substack{\operatorname{rank}(\Sigma)=k \\ \nu\in\mathfrak{S}(s,p,C_\nu)}} \mathbb{P}^{\otimes n}_{(\Sigma,\nu,\mathcal{T})} \left(\|\widehat{\Sigma} - \Sigma\|_2 > \varepsilon n^{-(s+2)/(2(s+2)+4\gamma-k))} \right) > 0.$$

Moreover, if $\gamma > 1$, we have under Assumption D(m) with $L > \frac{1+p\vee(-s)}{2} \vee 1$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} \inf_{\widehat{\Sigma}} \sup_{\substack{2\gamma\leqslant\operatorname{rank}(\Sigma)\leqslant d \\ \nu\in\mathfrak{S}(s,p,C_\nu)}} \mathbb{P}^{\otimes n}_{(\Sigma,\nu,\mathcal{T})} \left(\|\widehat{\Sigma} - \Sigma\|_2 > \varepsilon n^{-(s+2)/(2(s+2)+2\gamma))} \right) > 0.$$

(ii) Under the Assumption D(s) with $L > \frac{1+p\vee(-s)}{2}$ it holds for any $\varepsilon > 0$ that

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} \inf_{\widehat{\Sigma}} \sup_{\substack{0<\operatorname{rank}(\Sigma)\leqslant d \\ \nu\in\mathfrak{S}(s,p,C_\nu)}} \mathbb{P}^{\otimes n}_{(\Sigma,\nu,\mathcal{T})} \left(\|\widehat{\Sigma} - \Sigma\|_2 > \varepsilon (\log n)^{-(s+2)/(2\eta)} \right) > 0.$$

Note that the infima are taken over all estimators of Σ based on n independent observations of the random variable Y_1 whose law we denoted by $\mathbb{P}_{(\Sigma,\nu,\mathcal{T})}$.

5 Extensions

5.1 Mixing time-change

Motivated by the integrated CIR process from Example 2.1(iv), for instanced used by Carr et al. (2003) to model stock price processes, we will now generalize the results from the previous section to time-changes $\mathcal{T}$, whose increments are not i.i.d., but form a strictly stationary α-mixing sequence. Recall that the strong mixing coefficients of the sequence (T_j) are defined by

$$\alpha_T(n) := \sup_{k\geqslant 1} \alpha(\mathcal{M}_k, \mathcal{G}_{k+n}), \quad \alpha(\mathcal{M}_k, \mathcal{G}_\ell) := \sup_{A\in\mathcal{M}_k, B\in\mathcal{G}_\ell} |\mathbb{P}(A\cap B) - \mathbb{P}(A)\mathbb{P}(B)|$$

for $\mathcal{M}_k := \sigma(T_j : j \leqslant k)$ and $\mathcal{G}_k := \sigma(T_j : j \geqslant k)$. We replace Assumption C by the following

Assumption E. Let the time-change $\mathcal{T}$ satisfy:

(i) $\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{T}^p] < \infty$ for some $p > 2$.

(ii) The sequence $T_j = \mathcal{T}(j) - \mathcal{T}(j-1)$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$, is strictly stationary with invariant measure π and α-mixing with

$$\alpha_T(j) \leqslant \overline{\alpha}_0 \exp(-\overline{\alpha}_1 j), \quad j \in \mathbb{N},$$

for some positive constants $\overline{\alpha}_0$ and $\overline{\alpha}_1$.

(iii) The Laplace transform $\mathscr{L}$ satisfies $|\mathscr{L}''(z)/\mathscr{L}'(z)| \leqslant C_L$ for some $C_L > 0$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\mathrm{Re}(z) > 0$.

If T_j is α-mixing, Lemma 7.1 by Belomestny (2011) shows that the sequence (Y_j) inherits the mixing-property from the sequence (T_j). In combination with the finite moments $\mathbb{E}[|Y_j|^p] < \infty$ for $p > 2$ we can apply a concentration inequality on the empirical characteristic function φ_n, see Theorem A.4 below, which follows from the results by Merlevède et al. (2009).

In the α-mixing case, a noncommutative Bernstein inequality is not known (at least to the authors' knowledge) and thus we cannot hope for a concentration inequality for $\|\mathcal{R}_n\|_\infty$ analogous to Theorem 4.1. Possible workarounds are either to estimate

$$\|\mathcal{R}_n\|_\infty \leqslant 2\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Big|\frac{2}{|u|^2}\,\mathrm{Re}\,\widehat{\psi}_n(u) - \langle\widetilde{\Theta}(u),\Sigma\rangle\Big| \|\widetilde{\Theta}(u)\|_\infty w_U(u)\,\mathrm{d}u, \tag{5.1}$$

where $\|\widetilde{\Theta}(u)\|_\infty = 1$, or to bound $\|\mathcal{R}_n\|_\infty \leqslant (d+1)\|\mathcal{R}_n\|_{max}$ for the maximum entry norm $\|A\|_{max} = \max_{ij}|A_{ij}|$ for $A \in \mathbb{R}^{(d+1)\times(d+1)}$. While the former estimate leads to suboptimal rates for polynomially decaying $\mathscr{L}$, we loose a factor d in the latter bound which is critical in a high-dimensional setting.

Having in mind that the Laplace transform of the integrated CIR process decays exponentially, we pursue the first idea and obtain the following concentration result:

Theorem 5.1. *Grant Assumptions B and E and let $\rho > 1/2$. There are constants $\underline{\xi}, \overline{\xi} > 0$ such that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $U, \kappa > 0$ satisfying*

$$\underline{\xi}\sqrt{d\log n} < \kappa < \overline{\xi}(\log U)^{-\rho} \inf_{|u|\leqslant U} |\mathscr{L}'(-\psi(u))|(\log n)^{-1/2}\sqrt{n}$$

the matrix $\mathcal{R}_n$ from (3.5) satisfies for some constants $c, C, D > 0$ depending only on w, C_ν and C_L that

$$\mathbb{P}\Big(\|\mathcal{R}_n\|_\infty \geq \frac{\kappa(\log U)^\rho}{\sqrt{n}U^2 \inf_{|u|\leqslant U}|\mathscr{L}'(-\psi(u))|} + DU^{-s-2}\Big) \leqslant Ce^{-c\kappa^2} + Cn^{-p/2}.$$

In particular, we have $\mathbb{P}(\|\mathcal{R}_n\|_\infty > \lambda) \leqslant Ce^{-c\kappa^2} + Cn^{-p/2}$ if

$$\lambda \geqslant \frac{\kappa(\log U)^\rho}{\sqrt{n}U^2 \inf_{|u|\leqslant U}|\mathscr{L}'(-\psi(u))|} + DU^{-s-2}.$$

The suboptimal term $\sqrt{\log n}$ in lower bound of κ come from the estimate (5.1). The term $(\log U)^\rho$ could be omitted with a more precise estimate of the linearised stochastic error term (similar to the proof of Theorem 4.1), but we want to keep the proof of Theorem 5.1 simple. For exponentially decaying Laplace transforms the resulting rate is already sharp and coincides with our results for the independent case. It is again remarkable that the rate does not depend on the dimension d as long as the d grows not faster then n^τ for some $\tau \in [0,1)$.

Corollary 5.2. *Grant Assumptions B and E and let $\mathbb{M}$ be a convex subset of positive semi-definite $d \times d$ matrices. Suppose that $0 \neq \Sigma \in \mathbb{M}$ and $\alpha \in I$. Suppose $|\mathscr{L}'(z)| \gtrsim \exp(-a|z|^\eta)$ for $z \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\mathrm{Re}(z) > 0$ and for $a, \eta > 0$. Choosing*

$$U = \Big(\frac{\tau \log(n)}{a(\|\Sigma\|_\infty + C_\nu + \alpha)}\Big)^{1/(2\eta)} \vee 2 \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda = (\|\Sigma\|_\infty + C_\nu + \alpha\big)^{(s+2)/(2\eta)} (\log n)^{-(s+2)/(2\eta)}$$

for some $\tau < 1/2$, there are constants $C_1, C_2, c, \underline{\xi}, \overline{\xi}$ depending only on w, C_L, C_ν such that for any

$$\kappa \in \big(\underline{\xi}\sqrt{d \log n}, \overline{\xi} n^{-1/2+\tau}\big)$$

it holds

$$\|\widetilde{\Sigma}_{n,\lambda} - \Sigma\|_2 \leqslant C_1\big(\|\Sigma\|_\infty + C_\nu + \alpha\big)^{(s+2)/(2\eta)} \sqrt{\mathrm{rank}(\Sigma)} (\log n)^{-(s+2)/(2\eta)}$$

with probability larger than $1 - C_2(e^{-c\kappa} + n^{-p/2})$.

5.2 Incorporating positive definiteness constraints

Consider the optimisation problem

$$M_n := \operatorname*{arg\,inf}_{M \succeq 0,\, M \in \mathbb{R}^{d\times d}} Q_n(M) \quad \text{with} \quad Q_n(M) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Big(\frac{2}{|u|^2} \mathrm{Re}\, \widehat{\psi}_n(u) - \langle \Theta(u), M\rangle\Big)^2 w^U(u) \mathrm{d}u. \tag{5.2}$$

In general, the solution of (5.2) has to be searched in a space of dimension $O(d^2)$. Solving such a problem becomes rapidly intractable for large d. In order to solve (5.2) at a reduced computational cost, we assume that $\mathrm{rank}(\Sigma) \leqslant k \ll d$. In order to handle the positive definiteness constraint, we let $M = LL^\top$ with $L \in \mathbb{R}^{k\times d}$ and rewrite the problem (5.2) as

$$L_n := \operatorname*{arg\,inf}_{L \in \mathbb{R}^{k\times d}} Q_n(LL^\top). \tag{5.3}$$

In fact any local minima of (5.3) leads to a local minima of (5.2). Since any local minima is a global minima for the convex minimization problem (5.2), any local minima of (5.3) is a global minima.

5.3 A related regression problem

In order to understand the dimension effect that we have observed in the convergence rates in Corollary 4.3, we will take a more abstract point of view considering a related regression-type problem in this section. Motivated from the regression formula (2.2), we study the estimation of a possibly low-rank matrix $\Sigma \in \mathbb{R}^{d\times d}, \Sigma > 0$, based on the observations

$$X_i(u) = \langle u, \Sigma u\rangle + \Psi(u) + \varepsilon_i(u), \quad i = 1, \dots, n, u \in \mathbb{R}^d, \tag{5.4}$$

where $\Psi\colon \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ is an unknown deterministic nuisance function satisfying $|\Psi(u)| \to 0$ as $|u| \to \infty$ and $\varepsilon_i = (\varepsilon_i(u))_{u\in\mathbb{R}^d}$ are centred, bounded random variables fulfilling

$$\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n \text{ are i.i.d. with } \quad \mathbb{E}[\varepsilon_i(u)\varepsilon_i(v)] = (|u|^\beta |v|^\beta \vee 1)\varphi(u-v), \quad u, v \in \mathbb{R}^d, i \in \{1, \dots, n\}, \tag{5.5}$$

for some symmetric function $\varphi \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\beta \geqslant 0$. The covariance structure of $\varepsilon_i(u)$ includes on the one hand that the variance of $\varepsilon_i(u)$ increases (polynomially) as $|u| \to \infty$, implying some ill-posedness, and on the other hand the random variables $\varepsilon_i(u)$ and $\varepsilon_i(v)$ decorrelate as the distance of u and v increases (supposing that φ is a reasonable regular function).

Following our weighted Lasso approach, we define the statistic

$$T_n(u) := \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n X_i(u), \quad u \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

and introduce the weighted least squares estimator

$$\widehat{\Sigma}_{n,\lambda} := \operatorname*{arg\,min}_{M \in \mathbb{M}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \big(T_n(u) - \langle u, \Sigma u\rangle\big)^2 w_U(u)\,\mathrm{d}u + \lambda \|M\|_1 \tag{5.6}$$

for a convex subset $\mathbb{M} \subseteq \{A \in \mathbb{R}^{d\times d} : A > 0\}$, a weight function $w_U(u) := w(u/U), u \in \mathbb{R}^d$, for some radial function $w\colon \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}_+$ with support $\operatorname{supp} w \subseteq \{\frac{1}{2} \leqslant |u| \leqslant 1\}$ and a penalisation parameter $\lambda > 0$.

Our oracle inequalities easily carry over to the regression setting. We define the weighted scalar product and corresponding (semi-)norm

$$\langle A, B\rangle_U := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle u, Au\rangle\langle u, Bu\rangle w_U(u)\mathrm{d}u \quad \text{and} \quad \|A\|_U^2 := \langle A, A\rangle_U$$

as well as the error matrix

$$\mathcal{R}_n := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \big(T_n(u) - \langle u, \Sigma u\rangle\big) uu^\top w_U(u)\mathrm{d}u \in \mathbb{R}^{d\times d}.$$

Along the lines of Proposition 2.3 we obtain

$$\|\widehat{\Sigma}_{n,\lambda} - \Sigma\|_U^2 \leqslant \inf_{M\in\mathbb{M}} \big\{\|M - \Sigma\|_U^2 + 2\lambda\|M\|_1\big\} \quad \text{on the event } \{\|\mathcal{R}_n\|_\infty \leqslant \lambda\}.$$

It is now crucial to compare the weighted norm $\|\cdot\|_U$ with the Frobenius norm $\|\cdot\|_2$. Analogously to Lemma 2.2, we have for any positive definite matrix $A = QDQ^\top \in \mathbb{R}^{d\times d}$ with diagonal matrix D and orthogonal matrix Q that

$$\begin{aligned}\|A\|_U^2 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle Q^\top u, DQ^\top u\rangle^2 w_U(u)\,\mathrm{d}u \\ &= U^{d+4}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle v, Dv\rangle^2 w(v)\,\mathrm{d}v \geqslant U^{d+4}\|A\|_2^2 \underbrace{\int v_1^4 w(v)\mathrm{d}v}_{=:\varkappa^2}.\end{aligned} \tag{5.7}$$

Hence, compared to the Frobenius norm the weighted norm becomes stronger as U and d increase. In the well specified case $\Sigma \in \mathbb{M}$ we conclude

$$\|\widehat{\Sigma}_{n,\lambda} - \Sigma\|_2 \leqslant U^{-d/2-2}\varkappa\sqrt{2\lambda\|\Sigma\|_1} \quad \text{on the event } \{\|\mathcal{R}_n\|_\infty \leqslant \lambda\}.$$

Theorem 2.4 corresponds to the following stronger inequality which can be proved analogously. Because w is not normalised in the sense of inequality (5.7), we have to be a bit careful in the very last step in Section 7.3 in order not to oversee a factor $U^{-d/2-2}$.

Theorem 5.3. *In the regression model* (5.4) *with* $\Sigma > 0$ *the estimator* $\widehat{\Sigma}_{n,\lambda}$ *from* (5.6) *satisfies*

$$\|\widehat{\Sigma}_{n,\lambda} - \Sigma\|_2 \leqslant CU^{-d-4}\lambda\sqrt{\operatorname{rank}\Sigma} \quad \textit{on the event } \{\|\mathcal{R}_n\|_\infty \leqslant \lambda\} \tag{5.8}$$

for some numerical constant $C > 0$.

Let us now estimate the spectral norm of the error matrix

$$\mathcal{R}_n = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} \underbrace{\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varepsilon_i(u) u u^\top w_U(u)\,\mathrm{d}u}_{=:Z_i} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Psi(u) u u^\top w_U(u)\,\mathrm{d}u. \tag{5.9}$$

The second term is a deterministic error term which is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned}\Big\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Psi(u) u u^\top w_U(u) \Big\|_\infty &\leqslant \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\Psi(u)||u|^2 w_U(u)\mathrm{d}u \\ &\leqslant \sup_{|u|\geqslant U/2} |\Psi(u)| U^{d+2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |v|^2 w(v)\mathrm{d}v,\end{aligned}$$

using $\|uu^\top\|_\infty = |u|^2, u \in \mathbb{R}^d$. The dimension occurs here as a consequence of how the regularity (decay of Ψ) is measured in this problem. For the first term in (5.9) we apply, for instance, non-commutative Bernstein inequality noting that $Z_1, \dots, Z_n$ are i.i.d., bounded and centred. The Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, or more precisely the estimate (8.4), yields

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mathbb{E}[Z_1 Z_1]\|_\infty = \|\mathbb{E}[Z_1 Z_1^\top]\|_\infty &= \Big\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (|u|^\beta |v|^\beta \vee 1)\varphi(u-v)(uu^\top)(vv^\top) w_U(u) w_U(v)\mathrm{d}u\mathrm{d}v \Big\|_\infty \\ &\leqslant \int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\varphi(u-v)||u|^{2+\beta}|v|^{2+\beta} w_U(u) w_U(v)\mathrm{d}u\mathrm{d}v \\ &\leqslant \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |u|^{4+2\beta} w_U^2(u) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\varphi(u-v)|\mathrm{d}v\mathrm{d}u \\ &= \|\varphi\|_{L^1} U^{4+2\beta+d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |v|^{4+2\beta} w^2(v)\,\mathrm{d}v.\end{aligned}$$

Note that the dependence of the variance on d and β is the usual non-parametric behaviour. Therefore,

$$\|\mathcal{R}_n\|_\infty = \mathcal{O}_P\big(n^{-1/2} U^{2+\beta+d/2} + \sup_{|u|\geqslant U/2} |\Psi(u)| U^{d+2}\big).$$

We thus choose $\lambda \sim n^{-1/2}U^{2+\beta+d/2} + \sup_{|u|\geqslant U/2}|\Psi(u)|U^{d+2}$ and conclude from (5.8)

$$\|\widehat{\Sigma}_{n,\lambda} - \Sigma\|_2 \lesssim \Big(n^{-1/2}U^{\beta-2-d/2} + \sup_{|u|\geqslant U/2} |\Psi(u)| U^{-2}\Big)\sqrt{\operatorname{rank}\Sigma}. \tag{5.10}$$

If $\beta > 2 + d/2$, then we face a bias-variance trade-off. Supposing $|\Psi(u)| \lesssim |u|^{-\alpha}$ for some $\alpha > 0$, we may choose the optimal $U^* = n^{1/(2\alpha+2\beta-d)}$ and obtain the rate

$$\|\widehat{\Sigma}_{n,\lambda} - \Sigma\|_2 \lesssim n^{-(\alpha+2)/(2\alpha+2\beta-d)}.$$

This rate coincides with our findings in Corollary 3.3! Note that the second regime in the mildly ill-posed case comes from the auto-deconvolution structure of the Lévy process setting which is not represented in the regression model (5.4).

From this analysis we see that root for the surprising dimension dependence is the factor U^d in the restricted isometry property (5.7). Intuitively, it reflects that we "observe" more frequencies in the annulus $\{u \in \mathbb{R}^d : \frac{U}{2} \leqslant |u| \leqslant U\}$ as d increases and, due to the function φ in (5.5), we can really profit from these since the observations decorrelate if the frequencies have a large distance from each other.

6 Simulations

Let us analyse a model based on a time-changed normal inverse Gaussian (NIG) Lévy process. The NIG Lévy processes, characterised by their increments being NIG distributed, are a relatively

new class of processes introduced in Barndorff-Nielsen (1997) as a model for log returns of stock prices. Barndorff-Nielsen (1997) considered classes of normal variance-mean mixtures and defined the NIG distribution as the case when the mixing distribution is inverse Gaussian. Shortly after its introduction it was shown that the NIG distribution fits very well the log returns on German stock market data, making the NIG Lévy processes of great interest for practioneers.

A NIG distribution has in general the four parameters $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_+$, $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$, $\delta \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ with $|\beta| < \alpha$ having different effects on the shape of the distribution: α is responsible for the tail heaviness of steepness, β affects symmetry, δ scales the distribution and μ determines its mean value. The NIG distribution is infinitely divisible with the characteristic function

$$\varphi(u) = \exp\left\{\delta\left(\sqrt{\alpha^2-\beta^2} - \sqrt{\alpha^2-(\beta+iu)^2} + i\mu u\right)\right\}.$$

Therefore one can define the NIG Lévy process $(X_t)_{t\geq 0}$ which starts at zero and has independent and stationary increments such that each increment $X_{t+\Delta} - X_t$ has $\mathrm{NIG}(\alpha, \beta, \Delta\delta, \Delta\mu)$ distribution. The NIG process has no diffusion component making it a pure jump process with the Lévy density

$$\nu(x) \quad = \quad \frac{2\alpha\delta}{\pi}\frac{\exp(\beta x)K_1(\alpha|x|)}{|x|} \tag{6.1}$$

where $K_\lambda(z)$ is the modified Bessel function of the third kind. Taking into account the asymptotic relations

$$K_1(z) \asymp 2/z, \quad z \to +0 \text{ and } K_1(z) \asymp \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2z}}e^{-z}, \quad z \to +\infty,$$

we conclude that Assumption B is fulfilled for $s = -1$ and any $p > 0$.

A Gamma process is used for the time change $\mathcal{T}$ which is a Lévy process such that its increments have a Gamma distribution, so that $\mathcal{T}$ is a pure-jump increasing Lévy process with Lévy density

$$\nu_{\mathcal{T}}(x) = \vartheta x^{-1}\exp(-\eta x), \quad x \geqslant 0,$$

where the parameter ϑ controls the rate of jump arrivals and the scaling parameter η inversely controls the jump size. The Laplace transform of $\mathcal{T}$ is of the form

$$\mathscr{L}_t(z) = \mathbb{E}[\exp(-z\mathcal{T}(t))] = (1+z/\eta)^{-\vartheta t}, \quad \operatorname{Re} z \geq 0.$$

It follows from the properties of the Gamma distribution that Assumption C (with (iv)) is fulfilled for the Gamma process $\mathcal{T}$ for any $p > 0$.

Introduce a time-changed Lévy process

$$Y_t = \Sigma^{1/2}W_{\mathcal{T}(t)} + L_{\mathcal{T}(t)}, \quad t \geq 0,$$

where W_t is a 10-dimensional Wiener process, Σ is a 10×10 positive semidefinite matrix, $L_t = (L_t^1, \ldots, L_t^{10})$, $t \geq 0$, is a 10-dimensional Lévy process with independent NIG components and $\mathcal{T}$ is a Gamma process. Note that the process Y_t is a multidimensional Lévy process since $\mathcal{T}$ was itself a Lévy process (subordinator). Let us be more specific and set

$$\Sigma = O^\top \Lambda O,$$

where $\Lambda := \mathrm{diag}(1, 0.5, 0.1, 0, \ldots, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^{10}\times\mathbb{R}^{10}$ and O is a randomly generated 10×10 orthogonal matrix. We take the $\Delta = 1$-increments of the coordinate Lévy processes $L_\cdot^1, \ldots, L_\cdot^{10}$ to have $\mathrm{NIG}(1, -0.1, 1, -0.1)$ distribution. Take also $\vartheta = 1$ and $\eta = 1$ for the parameters of the Gamma process $\mathcal{T}$.

Simulating a discretised trajectory $Y_0, Y_1, \ldots, Y_n$ of the process (Y_t) of the length n, we estimate the covariance matrix Σ with $\widehat{\Sigma}_{n,\lambda}$ from (3.2). We solve the convex optimisation problem

$$\widehat{\Sigma}_{n,\lambda} := \underset{M\in\{A\in\mathbb{R}^{10\times 10}:A=A^\top\}}{\arg\min}\left\{\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\left(2|u|^{-2}\operatorname{Re}\widehat{\psi}_n(u) + \langle\Theta(u), M\rangle\right)^2 w_U(u)\,\mathrm{d}u + \lambda\|M\|_1\right\} \tag{6.2}$$



Figure 6.1: The relative estimation error $\|\widetilde{\Sigma}_{n,\lambda} - \Sigma\|_2/\|\Sigma\|_2$ in dependence on $n \in \{1000, 5000, 10000, 50000\}$ for $\lambda \equiv 0$ (left) and $\lambda_n = 10^3/n$ (right).



Figure 6.2: The histograms (over 100 simulation runs each consisting of 10000 observations) of ranks of the estimates $\widetilde{\Sigma}_{n,\lambda}$ for $\lambda \equiv 0$ (left) and $\lambda_n = 0.2$ (right).

where $\widehat{\psi}_n(u) := -\mathscr{L}^{-1}(\varphi_n(u))$ and $\varphi_n(u) = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^n e^{i\langle u, Y_j\rangle}$. The integral in (6.2) is approximated by a Monte Carlo algorithm using 70 independent draws from the uniform distribution on $[-U, U]^d$. In order to ensure that the estimate $\widehat{\Sigma}_{n,\lambda}$ is a positive semidefinite matrix, we compute the nearest positive definite matrix $\widetilde{\Sigma}_{n,\lambda}$ which approximates $\widehat{\Sigma}_{n,\lambda}$. To find such an approximation we use R package Matrix (function nearPD).

Figure 6.1 shows box plots of the relative estimation error $\|\widetilde{\Sigma}_{n,\lambda} - \Sigma\|_2/\|\Sigma\|_2$ for sample sizes $n \in \{1000, 5000, 10000, 50000\}$ based on 100 simulation iterations. As one can see, the nuclear norm penalisation stabilises the estimates especially for smaller sample sizes. Without penalisation the approximated mean squared error is 5 to 10 times larger than the estimation error with penalisation choosing $\lambda = 10^3/n$.

Next we look at the ranks of estimated matrices $\widetilde{\Sigma}_{n,\lambda}$. The corresponding histograms (obtained

over 100 simulation runs each consisting of 10000 observations) are presented in Figure 6.2, where the ranks were computed using the function rankMatrix from the package Matrix with tolerance for testing of "practically zero" equal to 10^{-6}. As expected the ranks of the estimated matrices $\widetilde{\Sigma}_{n,\lambda}$ are significantly lower in the case of nuclear norm penalization ($\lambda > 0$) and concentrate around the true rank.

7 Proofs of the oracle inequalities

7.1 Proof of the restricted isometry property: Lemma 3.2

Let A has rank k and admit the singular value decomposition $A = QDQ^\top$ for the diagonal matrix $D = \operatorname{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k, 0, \dots, 0)$ and an orthogonal matrix Q. Noting that $\langle \Theta(u), A\rangle \geqslant 0$ for all $u \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|(A,a)\|_w^2 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \big(\langle \Theta(u), A\rangle + 2U^2|u|^{-2}a\big)^2 w_U(u)\mathrm{d}u \\
&\geqslant \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \big(\langle Q^\top u, DQ^\top u\rangle^2 + 4U^4a^2\big)\frac{w_U(u)}{|u|^4}\mathrm{d}u \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \big((\lambda_1 v_1^2 + \ldots + \lambda_k v_k^2)^2 + 4a^2\big)\frac{w(v)}{|v|^4}\mathrm{d}v \\
&\geqslant \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \big(\lambda_1^2 v_1^4 + \ldots + \lambda_k^2 v_k^4 + 4a^2\big)\frac{w(v)}{|v|^4}\mathrm{d}v \\
&= \varkappa_1 \|A\|_2^2 + \varkappa_2 a^2,
\end{aligned}$$

with $\varkappa_1 := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d}(v_1^4/|v|^4)w(v)\mathrm{d}v$ and $\varkappa_2 := 4\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|v|^{-4}w(v)\mathrm{d}v \geqslant 2^6$. □

7.2 Proof of the first oracle inequality: Proposition 3.3

For convenience define $\mathcal{Y}_n := 2|u|^{-2}\operatorname{Re}\widehat{\psi}_n(u)$. It follows from the definition of $(\widehat{\Sigma}_{n,\lambda}, \widehat{\alpha}_{n,\lambda})$ that for all $M \in \mathbb{M}$ and $a \geqslant 0$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|(\widehat{\Sigma}_{n,\lambda}, \frac{\widehat{\alpha}_{n,\lambda}}{U^2})\|_w^2 - 2\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{Y}_n(u)\langle\widetilde{\Theta}(u), \operatorname{diag}(\widehat{\Sigma}_{n,\lambda}, \frac{\widehat{\alpha}_{n,\lambda}}{U^2})\rangle w_U(u)\,\mathrm{d}u + \lambda(\|\widehat{\Sigma}_{n,\lambda}\|_1 + \frac{\widehat{\alpha}_{n,\lambda}}{U^2}) \\
&\quad\leqslant \|(M, \frac{a}{U^2})\|_w^2 - 2\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{Y}_n(u)\langle\widetilde{\Theta}(u), \operatorname{diag}(M, \frac{a}{U^2})\rangle w_U(u)\mathrm{d}u + \lambda(\|M\|_1 + \frac{a}{U^2}).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|(\widehat{\Sigma}_{n,\lambda}, \frac{\widehat{\alpha}_{n,\lambda}}{U^2})\|_w^2 - 2\langle(\Sigma, \frac{\alpha}{U^2}), (\widehat{\Sigma}_{n,\lambda}, \frac{\widehat{\alpha}_{n,\lambda}}{U^2})\rangle_w + \lambda(\|\widehat{\Sigma}_{n,\lambda}\|_1 + \frac{\widehat{\alpha}_{n,\lambda}}{U^2}) \\
&\quad\leqslant \|(M, \frac{a}{U^2})\|_w^2 - 2\langle(\Sigma, \frac{\alpha}{U^2}), (M, \frac{a}{U^2})\rangle_w + \lambda(\|M\|_1 + \frac{a}{U^2}) + \langle\mathcal{R}_n, \operatorname{diag}(\widehat{\Sigma}_{n,\lambda} - M, \frac{\widehat{\alpha}_{n,\lambda} - a}{U^2})\rangle
\end{aligned}$$

Due to the trace duality we have on the good event that $|\langle\mathcal{R}_n, \operatorname{diag}(\widehat{\Sigma}_{n,\lambda} - M, U^{-2}(\widehat{\alpha}_{n,\lambda} - a))\rangle| \leqslant \lambda\|(\widehat{\Sigma}_{n,\lambda} - M\|_1 + U^{-2}|\widehat{\alpha}_{n,\lambda} - a|)$ and as a result

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|(\widehat{\Sigma}_{n,\lambda} - \Sigma, U^{-2}(\widehat{\alpha}_{n,\lambda} - \alpha))\|_w^2 \\
&\quad\leqslant \|(M - \Sigma, U^{-2}(a - \alpha))\|_w^2 + \lambda\big(\|\widehat{\Sigma}_{n,\lambda} - M\|_1 + \|M\|_1 - \|\widehat{\Sigma}_{n,\lambda}\|_1 + U^{-2}(|\widehat{\alpha}_{n,\lambda} - a| + a - \widehat{\alpha}_{n,\lambda})\big) \\
&\quad\leqslant \|(M - \Sigma, U^{-2}(a - \alpha))\|_w^2 + 2\lambda(\|M\|_1 + U^{-2}a).
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, choosing $a = \alpha$ yields

$$\|(\widehat{\Sigma}_{n,\lambda} - \Sigma, U^{-2}(\widehat{\alpha}_{n,\lambda} - \alpha))\|_w^2 \leqslant \inf_{M\in\mathbb{M}}\left\{\|M - \Sigma\|_w^2 + 2\lambda(\|M\|_1 + U^{-2}\alpha)\right\}. \qquad \square$$

7.3 Proof of the second oracle inequality: Theorem 3.4

We first introduce some abbreviations. Define $\overline{\mathbb{M}} := \{\mathrm{diag}(M, a) : M \in \mathbb{M}, a \in I\}$ with elements $\overline{M} = \mathrm{diag}(M, a) \in \overline{\mathbb{M}}$ and the convention $\|\overline{M}\|_w := \|(M, a)\|_w$. Note that convexity of $\mathbb{M}$ and I implies convexity of $\overline{\mathbb{M}}$. Moreover, we write $\overline{\Sigma}_{n,\lambda} := \mathrm{diag}(\widetilde{\Sigma}_{n,\lambda}, U^{-2}\widehat{\alpha}_{n,\lambda})$ and $\overline{\Sigma} = \mathrm{diag}(\Sigma, U^{-2}\alpha)$.

The proof borrows some ideas and notation from the proof of Theorem 1 in Koltchinskii et al. (2011). First note that a necessary condition of extremum in (3.3) implies that there is $V_n \in \partial\|\overline{\Sigma}_{n,\lambda}\|_1$, i.e. V_n is an element of the subgradient of the nuclear norm, such that the matrix

$$A = 2\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \widetilde{\Theta}(u)\left[\mathcal{Y}_n(u) - \langle\widetilde{\Theta}(u), \overline{\Sigma}_{n,\lambda}\rangle\right] w_U(u)\,\mathrm{d}u - \lambda V_n$$

belongs to the normal cone at the point $\overline{\Sigma}_{n,\lambda}$ i.e. $\langle A, \overline{\Sigma}_{n,\lambda} - \overline{M}\rangle \geq 0$ for all $\overline{M} \in \overline{\mathbb{M}}$. Hence

$$2\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle\widetilde{\Theta}(u), \overline{\Sigma}_{n,\lambda} - \overline{M}\rangle\left[\mathcal{Y}_n(u) - \langle\widetilde{\Theta}(u), \overline{\Sigma}_{n,\lambda}\rangle\right] w_U(u)\,\mathrm{d}u - \lambda\langle V_n, \overline{\Sigma}_{n,\lambda} - \overline{M}\rangle \geq 0$$

or equivalently

$$2\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle\widetilde{\Theta}(u), \overline{\Sigma}_{n,\lambda} - \overline{M}\rangle\mathcal{Y}_n(u) w_U(u)\,\mathrm{d}u - 2\langle\overline{\Sigma}_{n,\lambda}, \overline{\Sigma}_{n,\lambda} - \overline{M}\rangle_w - \lambda\langle V_n, \overline{\Sigma}_{n,\lambda} - \overline{M}\rangle \geq 0.$$

Furthermore

$$2\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle\widetilde{\Theta}(u), \overline{\Sigma}_{n,\lambda} - \overline{M}\rangle[\mathcal{Y}_n(u) - \langle\widetilde{\Theta}(u), \overline{\Sigma}\rangle] w_U(u)\,\mathrm{d}u$$
$$- 2\langle\overline{\Sigma}_{n,\lambda} - \overline{\Sigma}, \overline{\Sigma}_{n,\lambda} - \overline{M}\rangle_w - \lambda\langle V_n - V, \overline{\Sigma}_{n,\lambda} - \overline{M}\rangle \geq \lambda\langle V, \overline{\Sigma}_{n,\lambda} - \overline{M}\rangle$$

for any $V \in \partial\|\overline{M}\|_1$. Fix some $\overline{M} \in \overline{\mathbb{M}}$ of rank r with the spectral representation

$$\overline{M} = \sum_{j=1}^{r} \sigma_j u_j v_j^\top,$$

where $\sigma_1 \geq \ldots \geq \sigma_r > 0$ are singular values of $\overline{M}$. Due to the representation for the subdifferential of the mapping $\overline{M} \to \|\overline{M}\|_1$ (see Watson (1992))

$$\partial\|\overline{M}\|_1 = \Big\{\sum_{j=1}^{r} u_j v_j^\top + \Pi_{S_1^\top}\Lambda\Pi_{S_2^\top} : \|\Lambda\|_\infty \leqslant 1\Big\},$$

where $(S_1, S_2) = (\mathrm{span}(u_1, \ldots, u_r), \mathrm{span}(v_1, \ldots, v_r))$ is the support of $\overline{M}$, Π_S is a projector on the linear vector subspace S, we get

$$V = \sum_{j=1}^{r} u_j v_j^\top + \Pi_{S_1^\top}\Lambda\Pi_{S_2^\top}, \text{ for some } \Lambda \text{ with } \|\Lambda\|_\infty \leqslant 1.$$

By the trace duality, there is Λ such that

$$\langle\Pi_{S_1^\top}\Lambda\Pi_{S_2^\top}, \overline{\Sigma}_{n,\lambda} - \overline{M}\rangle = \langle\Pi_{S_1^\top}\Lambda\Pi_{S_2^\top}, \overline{\Sigma}_{n,\lambda}\rangle = \langle\Lambda, \Pi_{S_1^\top}\overline{\Sigma}_{n,\lambda}\Pi_{S_2^\top}\rangle = \|\Pi_{S_1^\top}\overline{\Sigma}_{n,\lambda}\Pi_{S_2^\top}\|_1$$

and for this Λ

$$2\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle\widetilde{\Theta}(u), \overline{\Sigma}_{n,\lambda} - \overline{M}\rangle[\mathcal{Y}_n(u) - \langle\widetilde{\Theta}(u), \overline{\Sigma}\rangle] w_U(u)\mathrm{d}u - 2\langle\overline{\Sigma}_{n,\lambda} - \overline{\Sigma}, \overline{\Sigma}_{n,\lambda} - \overline{M}\rangle_w$$
$$\geqslant \lambda\Big\langle\sum_{j=1}^{r} u_j v_j^\top, \overline{\Sigma}_{n,\lambda} - \overline{M}\Big\rangle + \lambda\|\Pi_{S_1^\top}\overline{\Sigma}_{n,\lambda}\Pi_{S_2^\top}\|_1$$

since $\langle V_n - V, \overline{\Sigma}_{n,\lambda} - \overline{M}\rangle = \langle V_n, \overline{\Sigma}_{n,\lambda} - \overline{M}\rangle + \langle V, \overline{M} - \overline{\Sigma}_{n,\lambda}\rangle \geq 0$ ($V \in \partial\|\overline{M}\|_1$ and $V_n \in \partial\|\overline{\Sigma}_{n,\lambda}\|_1$). Using the identities

$$\Big\|\sum_{j=1}^r u_j v_j^\top\Big\|_\infty = 1, \quad \Big\langle \sum_{j=1}^r u_j v_j^\top, \overline{\Sigma}_{n,\lambda} - \overline{M}\Big\rangle = \Big\langle \sum_{j=1}^r u_j v_j^\top, \Pi_{S_1}(\overline{\Sigma}_{n,\lambda} - \overline{M})\Pi_{S_2}\Big\rangle,$$

we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\overline{\Sigma}_{n,\lambda} - \overline{\Sigma}\|_w^2 + \|\overline{\Sigma}_{n,\lambda} - \overline{M}\|_w^2 + \lambda\|\Pi_{S_1^\top}\overline{\Sigma}_{n,\lambda}\Pi_{S_2^\top}\|_1\\ \leqslant&\|\overline{M} - \overline{\Sigma}\|_w^2 + \lambda\|\Pi_{S_1}(\overline{\Sigma}_{n,\lambda} - \overline{M})\Pi_{S_2}\|_1 - \langle \mathcal{R}_n, \overline{\Sigma}_{n,\lambda} - \overline{M}\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

On the good event the trace duality yields

$$\begin{aligned}\big|\langle \mathcal{R}_n, \overline{\Sigma}_{n,\lambda} - \overline{M}\rangle\big| &\leqslant \big|\langle \mathcal{R}_n, \pi_{\overline{M}}(\overline{\Sigma}_{n,\lambda} - \overline{M})\rangle\big| + \big|\langle \mathcal{R}_n, \Pi_{S_1^\top}\overline{\Sigma}_{n,\lambda}\Pi_{S_2^\top}\rangle\big|\\ &\leqslant \lambda\|\pi_{\overline{M}}(\overline{\Sigma}_{n,\lambda} - \overline{M})\|_1 + \lambda\|\Pi_{S_1^\top}\overline{\Sigma}_{n,\lambda}\Pi_{S_2^\top}\|_1\end{aligned}$$

with $\pi_{\overline{M}}(A) = A - \Pi_{S_1^\top} A \Pi_{S_2^\top}$. Next by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

$$\|\Pi_{S_1}(\overline{\Sigma}_{n,\lambda} - \overline{M})\Pi_{S_2}\|_1 \leqslant \sqrt{\operatorname{rank}(\overline{M})}\|\Pi_{S_1}(\overline{\Sigma}_{n,\lambda} - \overline{M})\Pi_{S_2}\|_2 \leqslant \sqrt{\operatorname{rank}(\overline{M})}\|\overline{\Sigma}_{n,\lambda} - \overline{M}\|_2,$$

as well as

$$\begin{aligned}\|\pi_{\overline{M}}(\overline{\Sigma}_{n,\lambda} - \overline{M})\|_1 &\leqslant \sqrt{\operatorname{rank}(\pi_{\overline{M}}(\overline{\Sigma}_{n,\lambda} - \overline{M}))}\|\pi_{\overline{M}}(\overline{\Sigma}_{n,\lambda} - \overline{M})\|_2\\ &\leqslant \sqrt{2\operatorname{rank}(\overline{M})}\|\overline{\Sigma}_{n,\lambda} - \overline{M}\|_2,\end{aligned}$$

since $\pi_{\overline{M}}(A) = \Pi_{S_1^\top} A \Pi_{S_2} + \Pi_{S_1} A$. Combining the above inequalities, we get

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\overline{\Sigma}_{n,\lambda} - \overline{\Sigma}\|_w^2 + \|\overline{\Sigma}_{n,\lambda} - \overline{M}\|_w^2 + \lambda\|\Pi_{S_1^\top}\overline{\Sigma}_{n,\lambda}\Pi_{S_2^\top}\|_1\\ \leqslant&\|\overline{M} - \overline{\Sigma}\|_w^2 + \lambda\sqrt{\operatorname{rank}(\overline{M})}\|\overline{\Sigma}_{n,\lambda} - \overline{M}\|_2 + \lambda\sqrt{2\operatorname{rank}(\overline{M})}\|\overline{\Sigma}_{n,\lambda} - \overline{M}\|_2 + \lambda\|\Pi_{S_1^\top}\overline{\Sigma}_{n,\lambda}\Pi_{S_2^\top}\|_1\end{aligned}$$

which is equivalent to

$$\|\overline{\Sigma}_{n,\lambda} - \overline{\Sigma}\|_w^2 + \|\overline{\Sigma}_{n,\lambda} - \overline{M}\|_w^2 \leqslant \|\overline{M} - \overline{\Sigma}\|_w^2 + (1+\sqrt{2})\lambda\sqrt{\operatorname{rank}(\overline{M})}\|\overline{\Sigma}_{n,\lambda} - \overline{M}\|_2.$$

Finally, we choose $\overline{M} = \operatorname{diag}(M, U^{-2}\alpha)$ and apply Lemma 3.2 as well as the standard estimate $ab \leqslant (a/2)^2 + b^2$ for any $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ to obtain on the good event

$$\|\overline{\Sigma}_{n,\lambda} - \overline{\Sigma}\|_w^2 \leqslant \|M - \Sigma\|_w^2 + (\tfrac{1+\sqrt{2}}{2})^2\varkappa_w^{-1}\lambda^2(\operatorname{rank}(M) + \mathbb{1}_{\alpha\neq 0}). \qquad \square$$

8 Proof of the convergence rates

8.1 Proof of the upper bound: Theorem 4.1

We start with an auxiliary lemma:

Lemma 8.1. *Let $L = \{L_t : t \geqslant 0\}$ be a d-dimensional Lévy process with characteristic triplet (A, c, ν). If $\int_{|x|\geqslant 1}|x|^p\nu(\mathrm{d}x)$ for $p \geqslant 1$, then $\mathbb{E}[|L_t|^p] = \mathcal{O}(t^{p/n} \vee t^p)$ for any $t > 0$ where $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is the smallest even natural number satisfying $n \geqslant p$.*

Proof. We decompose the Lévy process $L = M + N$ into two independent Lévy processes M and N with characteristics $(A, c, \nu\mathbb{1}_{\{|x|\leqslant 1\}})$ and $(0, 0, \nu\mathbb{1}_{\{|x|>1\}})$, respectively. The triangle inequality yields

$$\mathbb{E}[|L_t|^p] \lesssim \mathbb{E}[|M_t|^p] + \mathbb{E}[|N_t|^p].$$

Let $n \geqslant p$ be an even integer. Due to the compactly supported jump measure of M, the n-th moment of M_t is finite and can be written as a polynomial of degree n of its first n cumulants. Since all cumulants of M_t are linear in t, we conclude with Jensen's inequality

$$\mathbb{E}[|M_t|^p] \leqslant \mathbb{E}[M_t^n]^{p/n} \lesssim \big(t + t^n\big)^{p/n} \lesssim t^{p/n} \vee t^p.$$

Since N is a compound Poisson process, it can be represented as $N_t = \sum_{k=1}^{P_t} Z_k$ for a Poisson process P_t with intensity $\lambda = \nu(\{|x| > 1\}) < \infty$ and a sequence of i.i.d. random variables $(Z_k)_{k\geqslant 1}$ which is independent of P. Hence,

$$\mathbb{E}[|N_t|^p] \leqslant \mathbb{E}\Big[\sum_{k=1}^{P_t} |Z_k|^p\Big] \leqslant \mathbb{E}[P_t]\mathbb{E}[|Z_1|^p] = t\lambda\mathbb{E}[|Z_1|^p].$$

Note that $\mathbb{E}[|Z_1|^p]$ is finite owing to the assumption $\int_{|x|\geqslant 1} |x|^p \nu(\mathrm{d}x) < \infty$. □

Remark 8.2. While the upper bound t^p is natural, the order $t^{p/n}$ is sharp too in the sense that for a Brownian motion $L_t = W_t$ and $p = 1$ we have $\mathbb{E}[|W_t|] = t^{1/2}\mathbb{E}[|W_1|]$.

To show the upper bound, we start with bounding the approximation error term in the decomposition (4.2).

Lemma 8.3. *If the jump measure ν of Z satisfies Assumption B(i) for some $s \in (-2, \infty), C_\nu > 0$, then*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|\operatorname{Re}\Psi(u) + \alpha|}{|u|^2} w_U(u)\mathrm{d}u \leqslant C_s |U_n|^{-s-2}, \tag{8.1}$$

where $C_s := 2C_\nu \int_{\{1/4\leqslant|v|\leqslant 1/2\}} |v|^{-s-2} w(v)\mathrm{d}v$.

Proof. Let us start with the case $s \in (-2, 0)$ and $\alpha = 0$. For all $u \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}|\Psi(u)| &\leqslant \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \big|e^{i\langle x,u\rangle} - 1 - i\langle x, u\rangle \mathbb{1}_{\{|x|\leqslant 1\}}(x)\big|\nu(\mathrm{d}x) \leqslant \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Big(\frac{\langle x, u\rangle^2}{2} \wedge 2\Big)\nu(\mathrm{d}x)\\ &\leqslant 2^{1+s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\langle x, u\rangle|^{|s|}\, \nu(\mathrm{d}x) \leqslant 2^{1+s} C_\nu |u|^{-s}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|\operatorname{Re}\Psi(u)|}{|u|^2} w_U(u)\,\mathrm{d}u &\leqslant 2^{1+s} C_\nu \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |u|^{-s-2} |w_U(u)\,\mathrm{d}u\\ &\leqslant U^{-s-2} 2^{1+s} C_\nu \int_{\{1/4\leqslant|v|\leqslant 1/2\}} |v|^{-s-2} w(v)\mathrm{d}v.\end{aligned}$$

In the case $s \geqslant 0$ and $\alpha = \nu(\mathbb{R}^d) < \infty$, we have $\operatorname{Re}\Psi(u) + \alpha = \operatorname{Re}(\mathcal{F}\nu)(u), u \in \mathbb{R}^d$, such that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|\operatorname{Re}\Psi(u) + \alpha|}{|u|^2} w_U(u)\,\mathrm{d}u &\leqslant C_\nu \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |u|^{-2}(1 + |u|^2)^{-s/2} w_U(u)\,\mathrm{d}u\\ &\leqslant U^{-s-2} C_\nu \int_{1/4\leqslant|u|\leqslant 1/2} |v|^{-s-2} w_U(u)\,\mathrm{d}u.\end{aligned}$$

□

In order the bound the stochastic error term in (4.2), we apply the following linearisation lemma. We denote throughout $\|f\|_U := \sup_{|u|\leqslant U} |f(u)|$.

Lemma 8.4. *Grant Assumption C(iii) with $C_L > 0$. For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $U > 0$ we have on the event*

$$\mathcal{H}_{n,U} := \Big\{\|\varphi_n - \varphi\|_U \leqslant \tfrac{2}{C_L} \inf_{|u|\leqslant U} \mathscr{L}'(-\psi(u))\Big\}$$

that for all $u \in \mathbb{R}^d$ with $|u| \leqslant U$ it holds

$$\Big|\mathscr{L}^{-1}(\varphi_n(u)) - \mathscr{L}^{-1}(\varphi_n(u)) - \frac{\varphi_n(u)-\varphi(u)}{\mathscr{L}'(-\psi(u))}\Big| \leqslant 4C_L \frac{|\varphi_n(u)-\varphi(u)|^2}{|\mathscr{L}'(-\psi(u))|^2|\psi(u)|^q},$$

where $q = 1$ if Assumption C(iv) is satisfied and $q = 0$ otherwise.

Proof. First note that

$$(\mathscr{L}^{-1})'(z) = \frac{1}{\mathscr{L}'(\mathscr{L}^{-1}(z))} \quad \text{and} \quad (\mathscr{L}^{-1})''(z) = -\frac{\mathscr{L}''(\mathscr{L}^{-1}(z))}{\mathscr{L}'(\mathscr{L}^{-1}(z))^3}$$

and in particular $(\mathscr{L}^{-1})'(\varphi(u)) = 1/\mathscr{L}'(-\psi(u))$. Hence, the Taylor formula yields

$$\mathscr{L}^{-1}(\varphi_n(u)) - \mathscr{L}^{-1}(\varphi_n(u)) = \frac{\varphi_n(u)-\varphi(u)}{\mathscr{L}'(-\psi(u))} + R(u)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} |R(u)| &\leqslant |\varphi_n(u)-\varphi(u)|^2 |(\mathscr{L}^{-1})''(\varphi(u)+\xi_1(\varphi_n(u)-\varphi(u)))| \\ &\leqslant \frac{C_L|\varphi_n(u)-\varphi(u)|^2}{|\mathscr{L}'(\mathscr{L}^{-1}(\varphi(u)+\xi_1(\varphi_n(u)-\varphi(u))))|^2}. \end{aligned} \tag{8.2}$$

for some intermediate point $\xi_1 \in [0,1]$ depending on u. For another intermediate point $\xi_2 \in [0,1]$ we estimate

$$\begin{aligned} &\big|\mathscr{L}'\big(\mathscr{L}^{-1}(\varphi(u)+\xi_1(\varphi_n(u)-\varphi(u)))\big) - \mathscr{L}'(-\psi(u))\big| \\ &\quad\leqslant |\varphi_n(u)-\varphi(u)|\big|(\mathscr{L}'\circ\mathscr{L}^{-1})'(\varphi(u)+\xi_2(\varphi_n(u)-\varphi(u)))\big| \\ &\quad= |\varphi_n(u)-\varphi(u)|\Big|\Big(\frac{\mathscr{L}''_\Delta\circ\mathscr{L}^{-1}}{\mathscr{L}'\circ\mathscr{L}^{-1}}\Big)(\varphi(u)+\xi_2(\varphi_n(u)-\varphi(u)))\Big| \\ &\quad\leqslant C_L|\varphi_n(u)-\varphi(u)|. \end{aligned} \tag{8.3}$$

Therefore, we have on the event $\mathcal{H}_n$ for any u in the support of w_U

$$|R(u)| \leqslant C_L|\varphi_n(u)-\varphi(u)|^2\big(|\mathscr{L}'(-\psi(u))| - C_L|\varphi_n(u)-\varphi(u)|\big)^{-2} \leqslant 4C_L\frac{|\varphi_n(u)-\varphi(u)|^2}{|\mathscr{L}'(-\psi(u))|^2}.$$

Under Assumption C(iv) we can obtain a sharper estimate. More precisely, (8.2) and (8.3) imply together with the faster decay that

$$|R(u)| \leqslant \frac{4C_L|\varphi_n(u)-\varphi(u)|^2}{|\mathscr{L}'(-\psi(u))|^2(1+|\mathscr{L}^{-1}(\varphi(u)+\xi_1(\varphi_n(u)-\varphi(u)))|)}.$$

Using $\varphi = \mathscr{L}(-\psi)$ and again (8.3), we have on $\mathcal{H}_n$

$$\begin{aligned} &|\psi(u)+\mathscr{L}^{-1}(\varphi(u)+\xi_1(\varphi_n(u)-\varphi(u)))| \\ &\quad\leqslant |\varphi_n(u)-\varphi(u)||(\mathscr{L}^{-1})'(\varphi(u)+\xi_2(\varphi_n(u)-\varphi(u)))| \\ &\quad\leqslant \frac{|\varphi_n(u)-\varphi(u)|}{|\mathscr{L}'(\mathscr{L}^{-1}(\varphi(u)+\xi_2(\varphi_n(u)-\varphi(u))))|} \leqslant \frac{2|\varphi_n(u)-\varphi(u)|}{|\mathscr{L}'(-\psi(u))|} \leqslant \frac{4}{C_L}. \end{aligned}$$

We conclude

$$|R(u)| \leqslant \frac{4C_L|\varphi_n(u)-\varphi(u)|^2}{|\mathscr{L}'(-\psi(u))|^2|\psi(u)|}.$$

□

Denoting the linearised stochastic error term by

$$L_n := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |u|^{-2} \operatorname{Re}\Big(\frac{\varphi_n(u)-\varphi(u)}{\mathscr{L}'(-\psi(u))}\Big)\Theta(u)w_U(u)\mathrm{d}u \in \mathbb{R}^{d\times d},$$

we obtain the following concentration inequality

Lemma 8.5. *Define $\xi_U := U^2 \inf_{|u|\leqslant U} \mathscr{L}'(-\psi(u))$. There is some $c>0$ depending only on w such that for any $n \geqslant 1$ and any $\kappa \in (0, (nU^d/\|\varphi\|_{L^1(B_U^d)})^{1/2})$*

$$\mathbb{P}\Big(\|L_n\|_\infty \geqslant \frac{\kappa}{\sqrt{n}\xi_U}\sqrt{U^{-d}\|\varphi\|_{L^1(B_U^d)}}\Big) \leqslant 2de^{-c\kappa^2}.$$

Proof. We write

$$L_n = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^n C_j \quad \text{with} \quad C_j := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \operatorname{Re}\Big(\frac{e^{i\langle u,Y_j\rangle}-\varphi(u)}{|u|^2\mathscr{L}'(-\psi(u))}\Big)\Theta(u)w_U(u)\mathrm{d}u,$$

where C_j are independent, centred and symmetric matrices in $\mathbb{R}^{d\times d}$. In order to apply the noncommutative Bernstein inequality by (Recht, 2011, Thm. 4), we need to bound $\|C_j\|_\infty$ and $\|\mathbb{E}[C_j^2]\|_\infty$. Since $\|\Theta(u)\|_\infty = 1$, we have

$$\|C_j\|_\infty \leqslant \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{2}{|u|^2|\mathscr{L}'(-\psi(u))|} w_U(u)\mathrm{d}u \leqslant 2\xi_U^{-1}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|v|^{-2}w(v)\mathrm{d}v.$$

Using that $\operatorname{Re}(z_1)\operatorname{Re}(z_2) = \frac{1}{2}(\operatorname{Re}(z_1z_2)+\operatorname{Re}(z_1\overline{z}_2))$ for $z_1,z_2\in\mathbb{C}$ and symmetry in v, the Variance of C_j is bounded as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[C_j^2] &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathbb{E}\Big[\operatorname{Re}\Big(\frac{e^{i\langle u,Y_1\rangle}-\varphi(u)}{\mathscr{L}'(-\psi(u))}\Big)\operatorname{Re}\Big(\frac{e^{i\langle v,Y_1\rangle}-\varphi(v)}{\mathscr{L}'(-\psi(v))}\Big)\Big]\frac{\Theta(u)}{|u|^2}\frac{\Theta(v)}{|v|^2}w_U(u)w_U(v)\mathrm{d}u\mathrm{d}v\\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\operatorname{Re}\Big(\frac{\varphi(u+v)-\varphi(u)\varphi(v)}{\mathscr{L}'(-\psi(u))\mathscr{L}'(-\psi(v))}\Big)\frac{\Theta(u)}{|u|^2}\frac{\Theta(v)}{|v|^2}w_U(u)w_U(v)\mathrm{d}u\mathrm{d}v.\end{aligned}$$

To estimate $\|\mathbb{E}[C_j^2]\|_\infty$ we bound the spectral norm of the integral by the integral over the spectral norms (Minkowski inequality). Moreover, we use that for any functions $f\colon \mathbb{R}^d\to\mathbb{C}$ and $g\colon \mathbb{R}^d\times\mathbb{R}^d\to\mathbb{C}$ with $|g(u,v)| = |g(v,u)|$ the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and Fubini's theorem yield

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|f(u)f(v)g(u,v)|\mathrm{d}u\mathrm{d}v &\leqslant \big\|f(u)\sqrt{|g(u,v)|}\big\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d})}\big\|f(v)\sqrt{|g(u,v)|}\big\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d})}\\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|f(u)|^2\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|g(u,v)|\mathrm{d}v\mathrm{d}u. \qquad (8.4)\end{aligned}$$

Taking into account the compact support of w_U and applying the previous estimate to $f(u) = w_U(u)/(|u|^2\mathscr{L}'(-\psi(u)))$ and $g(u,v) = (\varphi(u+v)-\varphi(u)\varphi(v))\mathbb{1}_{\{|u|\leqslant U/2\}}\mathbb{1}_{\{|v|\leqslant U/2\}}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mathbb{E}[C_j^2]\|_\infty &\leqslant \int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\frac{w_U(u)^2}{|u|^4|\mathscr{L}'(-\psi(u))|^2}\int_{|v|\leqslant U/2}\big(|\varphi(u+v)|+|\varphi(u)\varphi(-v)|\big)\mathrm{d}v\,\mathrm{d}u\\ &\leqslant 2\|\varphi\|_{L^1(B_U^d)}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\frac{w_U(u)^2}{|u|^4\mathscr{L}'(-\psi(u))^2}\mathrm{d}u.\end{aligned}$$

Using $w_U(u) = U^{-d}w(u/U)$, we conclude

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mathbb{E}[C_j^2]\|_\infty &\leqslant 2\|\varphi\|_{L^1(B_U^d)}\Big(\inf_{|u|\leqslant U}\mathscr{L}'(-\psi(u))\Big)^{-2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|u|^{-4}w_U(u)^2\mathrm{d}u\\ &\leqslant 2\xi_U^{-2}U^{-d}\|\varphi\|_{L^1(B_U^d)}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|v|^{-4}w(v)^2\mathrm{d}v.\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, Theorem 4 by Recht (2011) yields

$$\mathbb{P}\Big(\|L_n\|_\infty \geqslant \frac{\kappa}{\sqrt{n}\xi_U}\frac{\|\varphi\|^{1/2}_{L^1(B^d_U)}}{U^{d/2}}\Big) \leqslant 2d\exp\Big(-\frac{c\kappa^2}{1+\kappa(\|\varphi\|_{L^1(B^d_U)}/(nU^d))^{1/2}}\Big)$$

for some constant $c>0$ depending only on w. □

Proof of Theorem 4.1. We write again $q=1$ if Assumption C(iv) is satisfied and $q=0$ otherwise. Applying Lemmas 8.3 and 8.4 we deduce from (4.2) on the event $\mathcal{H}_{n,U}$, defined the linearisation lemma,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\mathcal{R}_n\|_\infty \leqslant& 4\Big\|\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\frac{1}{|u|^2}\operatorname{Re}\big(\mathscr{L}^{-1}(\varphi_n(u))-\mathscr{L}^{-1}(\varphi(u))\big)\Theta(u)w_U(u)\mathrm{d}u\Big\|_\infty \\
&+4\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\frac{|\operatorname{Re}\Psi(u)+\alpha|}{|u|^2}w_U(u)\mathrm{d}u \\
\leqslant& 4\|L_n\|_\infty + 16C_L\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\frac{|\varphi_n(u)-\varphi(u)|^2}{|u|^2|\psi(u)|^q|\mathscr{L}'(-\psi(u))|^2}w_U(u)\mathrm{d}u + DC_\nu U^{-s-2} \\
\leqslant& 4\|L_n\|_\infty + 16C_L\frac{\|\varphi_n-\varphi\|^2_U}{\inf_{|u|\leqslant U}|\psi(u)|^q|\mathscr{L}'(-\psi(u))|^2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|u|^{-2}w_U(u)\mathrm{d}u + DC_\nu U^{-s-2} \\
\leqslant& 4\|L_n\|_\infty + DC_L\frac{\|\varphi_n-\varphi\|^2_U}{U^2\inf_{|u|\leqslant U}|\psi(u)|^q|\mathscr{L}'(-\psi(u))|^2} + DC_\nu U^{-s-2}
\end{aligned}$$

for some constant $D>0$ depending only on w and s. Writing again $\xi_U := U^2\inf_{|u|\leqslant U}|\mathscr{L}'(-\psi(u))|$ and defining $\zeta_U := U^2\inf_{|u|\leqslant U}|\psi(u)|^q|\mathscr{L}'(-\psi(u))|^2$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{P}\Big(\|\mathcal{R}_n\|_\infty \geqslant \frac{\kappa}{\sqrt{n}\xi_U}\sqrt{U^{-d}\|\varphi\|_{L^1(B^d_U)}} + DC_\nu U^{-s-2}\Big) \\
\leqslant&\mathbb{P}\Big(\|L_n\|_\infty \geqslant \frac{\kappa}{8}\frac{\|\varphi\|^{1/2}_{L^1(B^d_U)}}{\sqrt{n}U^{d/2}\xi_U}\Big) + \mathbb{P}\Big(\|\varphi_n-\varphi\|^2_U \geqslant \frac{\kappa}{2DC_L}\frac{\|\varphi\|^{1/2}_{L^1(B^d_U)}\zeta_U}{U^{d/2}\sqrt{n}\xi_U}\Big) + \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}^c_{n,U}). \qquad (8.5)
\end{aligned}$$

The first probability is bounded by Lemma 8.5. Defining

$$\delta_n := \frac{\sqrt{n}\|\varphi\|^{1/2}_{L^1(B^d_U)}\zeta_U}{U^{d/2}\xi_U} = \frac{\sqrt{n}\|\varphi\|^{1/2}_{L^1(B^d_U)}\inf_{|u|\leqslant U}|\psi(u)|^q|\mathscr{L}'(-\psi(u))|^2}{U^{d/2}\inf_{|u|\leqslant U}|\mathscr{L}'(-\psi(u))|}$$

and using that $\kappa\leqslant\delta_n$ by assumption, we can bound the second probability in (8.5) by Theorem A.2:

$$\mathbb{P}\Big(\big(\sqrt{n}\|\varphi_n-\varphi\|_U\big)^2 \geqslant \frac{\kappa\delta_n}{2DC_L}\Big) \leqslant \mathbb{P}\Big(\big(\sqrt{n}\|\varphi_n-\varphi\|_U\big)^2 \geqslant \frac{\kappa^2}{2DC_L}\Big) \leqslant 2e^{-c\kappa^2},$$

for some numerical constant $c>0$, provided $\kappa\geqslant\sqrt{d\log(d+1)}(\log U)^\rho$ for some $\rho>1/2$ and $\kappa\leqslant\sqrt{n}$. The probability of the complement of $\mathcal{H}_{n,U}$ can be similarly estimated by

$$\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}^c_n) \leqslant \mathbb{P}\left(\sqrt{n}\,\|\varphi_n-\varphi\|_U \geqslant \tfrac{C}{2}\sqrt{n}U^{-2}\xi_U\right)$$

which yields the claimed bound owing to Theorem A.2 and $\kappa^2\leqslant n\xi_U^2U^{-4}$. □

8.2 Proof of the lower bounds: Theorem 4.5

We follow the standard strategy to prove lower bounds adapting some ideas by Belomestny et al. (2015, Chap. 1). We start with the proof of (i) which is divided into several steps.

Step 1: We need to construct two alternatives of Lévy triplets. Let $K\colon \mathbb{R}^k \to \mathbb{R}$ be a kernel given via its Fourier transform

$$\mathcal{F}K(u) = \begin{cases} 1, & |u| \leqslant 1, \\ \exp\left(-\frac{e^{-1/(|u|-1)}}{2-|u|}\right), & 1 < |u| < 2, \\ 0, & |u| \geq 2, \end{cases} \quad u \in \mathbb{R}^k.$$

Since $\mathcal{F}K$ is real and even, K is indeed a real valued function. For each n we define two jump measures ν_0 and ν_n on $\mathbb{R}^d$ via their Lebesgue density, likewise denoted by ν_0 and ν_n, respectively. Slightly abusing notation we define the densities on $\mathbb{R}^k$ and set the remaining $d-k$ coordinates equal to zero. Denoting the Laplace operator by $\Delta := \sum_{j=1}^k \partial_j^2$, we set

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_0(x) :=& \Big(1 + \sum_{j=1}^k |x_j|^{2L}\Big)^{-1}, \quad x = (x_1, \dots, x_k)^\top \in \mathbb{R}^k, \\ \nu_n(x) :=& \nu_0(x) + a\delta_n^{s-k}(\Delta K)(x/\delta_n), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^k, \end{aligned}$$

for $L \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $2L > k + p \vee (-s)$ and $L > k$, some positive sequence $\delta_n \to 0$, to be chosen later and a sufficiently small constant $a > 0$. Since for any $l \in \mathbb{N}$ it holds $|x|^l |\Delta K(x)| \leqslant C_l$ uniformly and due to the assumption $k \leqslant s$, ν_0 and ν_n are non-negative finite measures. In particular, they are Lévy measures.

By construction $\nu_0 \in \mathfrak{S}(s, p, C_\nu)$ for any $s > -2, p > 0$ and some $C_\nu > 0$ (by rescaling C_ν can be arbitrary). To verify that $\nu_n \in \mathfrak{S}(s, p, C_\nu)$ holds for some sufficiently small $a > 0$ and for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we first note that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^k} |x|^{-s} \delta_n^{s-k} |\Delta K|(x/\delta_n) \mathrm{d}x = \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} |y|^{-s} |\Delta K|(y) \mathrm{d}y$ for $s \in (-2, 0]$. In the case $s > 0$ we use

$$\begin{aligned} (1+|u|^2)^{s/2} \big|\mathcal{F}\big[\delta_n^{s-k} \Delta K(x/\delta_n)\big](u)\big| &= \delta_n^{s+2} (1+|u|^2)^{s/2} |u|^2 \big|\mathcal{F}K(\delta_n u)\big| \\ &\leqslant \delta_n^{s+2} (1+\delta_n^{-2})^{s/2} \delta_n^{-2} \lesssim 1, \end{aligned}$$

owing to the compact support of $\mathcal{F}K$.

Now define the rank k diagonal matrix $\Sigma_0 = \mathrm{diag}(1, \dots, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$ (i.e., k ones followed by $d-k$ zeros) and its perturbation $\Sigma_n := (1 + 2a\delta_n^{2+s})\Sigma_0$. Finally define

$$Y_t^{(0)} = \Sigma_0 W_t + Z_t^{(0)} \quad \text{and} \quad Y_t^{(n)} = \Sigma_n W_t + Z_t^{(n)}$$

with a Brownian motion W_t and with $Z_t^{(0)}$ and $Z_t^{(n)}$ being compound Poisson processes independent of W_t, with jump measures ν_0 and ν_n, respectively.

Step 2: We now bound the χ^2 distance of the observation laws $\mathbb{P}_0^{\otimes n} := \mathbb{P}^{\otimes n}_{(\Sigma_0, \nu_0, \mathcal{T})}$ and $\mathbb{P}_n^{\otimes n} := \mathbb{P}^{\otimes n}_{(\Sigma_n, \nu_n, \mathcal{T})}$. First we observe that both laws are equal on the last $d-k$ coordinates, namely being a Dirac measure in zero. Owing to the diffusion component, the marginals $\mathbb{P}_0$ and $\mathbb{P}_n$ admit Lebesgue densities on $\mathbb{R}^k$ denoted by f_0 and f_n, respectively (cf. (Sato, 2013, Thm. 27.7)). Since the observations are i.i.d., $\chi^2(\mathbb{P}_n^{\otimes n}, \mathbb{P}_0^{\otimes n})$ is uniformly bounded in n, if

$$n\chi^2(\mathbb{P}_n, \mathbb{P}_0) = n \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} \frac{|f_n(x) - f_0(x)|^2}{f_0(x)} \mathrm{d}x < c$$

for some constant $c > 0$. The density f_0 is given by $f_0(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} p_t(x) \pi(\mathrm{d}t)$, where p_t denotes the density of $Y_t^{(0)}$. Since Y^0 is of compound Poisson type, its marginal density is given by the convolution exponential

$$p_t(x) = \mu_{0,t\Sigma_0} * \Big(e^{-t\nu_0(\mathbb{R}^k)} \sum_{j=0}^\infty \frac{t^j \nu_0^{*j}}{j!}\Big)(x) \geqslant t e^{-t\nu_0(\mathbb{R}^k)} (\mu_{0,t\Sigma_0} * \nu_0)(x)$$

with the density $\mu_{0,t\Sigma_0}$ of the $\mathcal{N}(0,t\Sigma_0)$-distribution. Using that there is some interval $[r,s]\subseteq(0,\infty)$ with $\pi([r,s])>0$ and that ν_0 is independent of t, we obtain

$$f_0(x)\gtrsim\big(\mu_{0,r\Sigma_0}*\nu_0\big)(x)\gtrsim\Big(1+\sum_{j=1}^k|x_j|^{2L}\Big)^{-1},\quad x=(x_1,\dots,x_k)^\top\in\mathbb{R}^k.$$

By Plancherel's identity we thus have

$$\begin{aligned}\chi^2(\mathbb{P}_n,\mathbb{P}_0)&\leqslant\int_{\mathbb{R}^k}\Big(1+\sum_{j=1}^k|x_j|^{2L}\Big)\big|f_n(x)-f_0(x)\big|^2\mathrm{d}x\\&\lesssim\|\varphi_n-\varphi_0\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^k)}+\sum_{j=1}^k\big\|\partial_j^L(\varphi_n-\varphi_0)\big\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^k)},\end{aligned}$$

where φ_0 and φ_n denote the characteristic functions of $\mathbb{P}_0$ and $\mathbb{P}_n$, respectively.

Step 3: We have to estimate the distance of the characteristic functions. Let us denote the characteristic exponents of the Lévy processes $Y_t^{(0)}$ and $Y_t^{(n)}$ (restricted on the first k coordinates) by ψ_0 and ψ_n, respectively. Then,

$$\psi_m(u)=-\frac{1}{2}\langle u,\Sigma_m u\rangle+\int_{\mathbb{R}^k}\big(e^{i\langle u,x\rangle}-1-i\langle u,x\rangle\big)\nu_m(x)\mathrm{d}x,\quad m\in\{0,n\}$$

Note that ψ_m is real valued because ν_m is even. Using Taylor's formula, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_n(u)-\varphi_0(u)&=\mathscr{L}(-\psi_n(u))-\mathscr{L}(-\psi_0(u))\\&=-\big(\psi_n(u)-\psi_0(u)\big)\int_0^1\mathscr{L}'\big(-\psi_0(u)-t(\psi_n(u)-\psi_0(u))\big)\mathrm{d}t.\end{aligned}$$

Defining $\Psi_{n,t}(u):=-\psi_0(u)-t(\psi_n(u)-\psi_0(u))$, we thus have

$$\partial_j^L(\varphi_n-\varphi_0)(u)=\sum_{r=0}^L\partial_j^r\big(\psi_n(u)-\psi_0(u)\big)\int_0^1\partial_j^{L-r}\mathscr{L}'(\Psi_{n,t}(u))\mathrm{d}t,$$

where the partial derivatives of the composition $\mathscr{L}'\circ\Psi_{n,t}$ can be computed with Faà di Bruno's formula. Since $\int(e^{i\langle u,x\rangle}-1-i\langle u,x\rangle)\Delta K(x/\delta_n)\mathrm{d}x=-\delta_n^{2+k}|u|^2\mathcal{F}K(\delta_n u)$, we have

$$\psi_n(u)-\psi_0(u)=a\delta_n^{2+s}|u|^2(1-\mathcal{F}K(\delta_n u))$$

and in particular $\Psi_{n,t}(u)=-\psi_0(u)(1+o(1))$ uniformly over u and t for $\delta_n\to0$. Taking into account the properties

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathcal{F}K(u)=0\text{ for }|u|>2/\delta_n,\\&\partial_j^r\mathcal{F}K(u)=0\text{ for }|u|\leqslant1/\delta_n\text{ and }|u|>2/\delta_n,\quad r=1,\dots,L,\\&|\partial_j^r\mathcal{F}K(u)|\leqslant C\text{ for }1/\delta_n<|u|\leqslant2/\delta_n,\quad r=0,1,\dots,L,\end{aligned}$$

for all $j=1,\dots,k$ and some $C>0$, we see that $\psi_n(u)-\psi_0(u)$ is zero for $|u|<1/\delta_n$ and that $|\partial_j\psi_m(u)|\lesssim1+|u_j|$, $|\partial_j^r\psi_m(u)|\lesssim1$ for $r=2,\dots,L$ and $m\in\{0,n\}$. We conclude

$$\big\|\partial_j^L(\varphi_n-\widetilde{\varphi}_n)\big\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^k)}\lesssim a\delta_n^{2s+4}\int_{|u|>1/\delta_n}|u|^4\sum_{r=0}^L\big|\mathscr{L}^{(1+r)}\big(-\psi_n(u)(1+o(1))\big)\big|^2|u|^{2r}\mathrm{d}u.$$

Due to monotonicity of $\mathscr{L}'(-x)$ for $x>0$ and $\mathscr{L}^{(r+1)}(x)/\mathscr{L}^{(r)}(x)=O(1/|x|)$ for $|x|\to\infty$, $r=1,\dots,L$, the previous estimate and Step 2 yield as $n\to\infty$

$$n\chi^2(\mathbb{P}_n,\mathbb{P}_0)\lesssim an\delta_n^{2(s+2)}\int_{|u|>1/\delta_n}\big|\mathscr{L}'(-\psi_0(u))\big|^2|u|^4\mathrm{d}u\lesssim an\delta_n^{2s+4+4\gamma-k}$$

if $4\gamma > k$. Hence, $\chi^2(\mathbb{P}_n^{\otimes n}, \mathbb{P}_0^{\otimes n})$ remains bounded for $\delta_n = n^{-1(2s+4+4\gamma-k)}$ and with some sufficiently small $a > 0$.

Step 4: Noting that

$$\left\|\Sigma_0 - \widetilde{\Sigma}_n\right\|_2 = 2\sqrt{k}a\delta_n^{s+2},$$

the first lower bound in Theorem 4.5 follows from Theorem 2.2 in Tsybakov (2009).

Step 5: For the second case, i.e., $k > 2\gamma$, we modify our construction as follows: We use the jump measures ν_0 and ν_n from before, but only on the first derivative. We use the same rank k diffusion matrix Σ_0 with k ones on the diagonal, but choose the alternative as $\Sigma_n = \mathrm{diag}(1 + 2a\delta_n^{2+s}, 1, \ldots, 1, 0, \ldots, 0)$ where the last $d - k$ entries are zero. Since the corresponding laws $\mathbb{P}_0$ and $\mathbb{P}_n$ are product measures which differ only on the first coordinate, the calculations from Step 2 and 3 yield

$$\begin{aligned}
n\chi^2(\mathbb{P}_n, \mathbb{P}_0) &\lesssim an\delta_n^{2(s+2)} \int_{\mathbb{R}\setminus[-1/\delta_n, 1/\delta_n]} |\mathscr{L}'(-\psi_0(u))|^2 |u|^4 \mathrm{d}u \\
&\leqslant an\delta_n^{2(s+2)} \sup_{|u|>1/\delta_n} \left\{|\mathscr{L}'(-\psi_0(u))||u|^2\right\} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\mathscr{L}'(-\psi_0(u))||u|^2 \mathrm{d}u \\
&\lesssim an\delta_n^{2(s+2)+2\gamma} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (1 + |u|^2)^{-\gamma-1} |u|^2 \mathrm{d}u,
\end{aligned}$$

where the integral is finite by the assumption $\gamma > 1/2$. Hence, we have shown the second lower bound Theorem 4.5(i).

The result in (ii) can be deduced analogously, choosing $k = 1$. In Step 3 we obtain under the corresponding assumption on $\mathscr{L}$ that with some constant $c > 0$

$$\begin{aligned}
n\chi^2(\mathbb{P}_n, \mathbb{P}_0) &\lesssim an\delta_n^{2(s+2)} \int_{|u|>1/\delta_n} |\mathscr{L}'(-\psi_0(u))|^2 |u|^4 (1 + |u|^{2L}) \mathrm{d}u \\
&\lesssim an\delta_n^{2s+4} e^{-c\delta_n^{-2\eta}}
\end{aligned}$$

which remains bounded if $\delta_n \sim (\log n)^{-1/(2\eta)}$. □

8.3 The mixing case: Proof of Theorem 5.1

We denote again $\xi_U := U^2 \inf_{|u|\leqslant U} |\mathscr{L}'(-\psi(u))|$ and recall the event $\mathcal{H}_{n,U}$ defined in Lemma 8.4. Applying (5.1) and Lemmas 8.3 and 8.4, we obtain on $\mathcal{H}_{n,U}$

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\mathcal{R}_n\|_\infty \leqslant& 4\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |u|^{-2} \left|\operatorname{Re}\left(\mathscr{L}^{-1}(\varphi_n(u)) - \mathscr{L}^{-1}(\varphi(u))\right)\right| w_U(u)\mathrm{d}u + 4\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |u|^{-2} |\Psi(u) + \alpha| w_U(u)\mathrm{d}u \\
\leqslant& 4\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|\varphi_n(u) - \varphi(u)|}{|u|^2 |\mathscr{L}'(-\psi(u))|} w_U(u)\mathrm{d}u + 16C_L \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|\varphi_n(u) - \varphi(u)|^2}{|u|^2 |\mathscr{L}'(-\psi(u))|^2} w_U(u)\mathrm{d}u \\
&+ 4\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |u|^{-2} |\Psi(u) + \alpha| w_U(u)\mathrm{d}u \\
\leqslant& C\Big(\xi_U^{-1}\|\varphi_n - \varphi\|_U + U^2\xi_U^{-2}\|\varphi_n - \varphi\|_U^2 + U^{-s-2}\Big)
\end{aligned}$$

where the constant $C > 0$ depends only on w, C_L and C_ν. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{P}\Big(\|\mathcal{R}_n\|_\infty \geqslant \frac{\kappa(\log U)^\rho}{\sqrt{n}\xi_U} + CU^{-s-2}\Big) \\
\leqslant& \mathbb{P}\Big(\sqrt{n}(\log U)^{-\rho}\|\varphi_n - \varphi\|_U \geqslant \frac{\kappa}{2C}\Big) + \mathbb{P}\Big(\big(\sqrt{n}(\log U)^{-\rho}\|\varphi_n - \varphi\|_U\big)^2 \geqslant \frac{\sqrt{n}\kappa\xi_U}{2CU^2(\log U)^\rho\xi_U}\Big) + \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}_{n,U}^c).
\end{aligned}$$

If $\kappa \leqslant \sqrt{n}\xi_U(\log U)^{-\rho}U^{-2}$, we have

$$\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}_{n,U}^c) \leqslant \mathbb{P}\Big(\sqrt{n}(\log U)^{-\rho}\|\varphi_n - \varphi\|_U \geqslant \frac{2\kappa}{C_L}\Big)$$

Theorem A.4 yields

$$\mathbb{P}\Big(\|\mathcal{R}_n\|_\infty \geqslant \frac{\kappa(\log U)^\rho}{\sqrt{n}\xi_U} + CU^{-s-2}\Big) \lesssim e^{-c\kappa^2} + n^{-p/2}$$

some $c > 0$ and for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\kappa \in (\underline{\xi}\sqrt{d\log n}, \overline{\xi}\sqrt{n}/\log^2 n)$. □

A Multivariate uniform bounds for the empirical characteristic function

A.1 I.i.d. sequences

Let us recall the usual multi-index notation. For a multi-index $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_d) \in \mathbb{N}^d$, a vector $x = (x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and a function $f\colon \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ we write

$$|\beta| := \beta_1 + \cdots + \beta_d, \quad x^\beta := x_1^{\beta_1} \cdots x_d^{\beta_d}, \quad |x|^\beta := |x_1|^{\beta_1} \cdots |x_d|^{\beta_d},$$
$$\partial^\beta f := \partial_1^{\beta_1} \cdots \partial_d^{\beta_d} f.$$

We need a multivariate (straight forward) generalisation of Theorem 4.1 by Neumann and Reiß (2009). For a sequence of independent random vectors $(Y_j)_{j\geqslant 1} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ we define the empirical process corresponding to the empirical characteristic function by

$$C_n(u) := \sqrt{n}(\varphi_n(u) - \varphi(u)) = n^{-1/2} \sum_{j=1}^n \big(e^{i\langle u, Y_j\rangle} - \mathbb{E}[e^{i\langle u, Y_1\rangle}]\big), \quad u \in \mathbb{R}^d, n \geqslant 1.$$

Proposition A.1. *Let $\beta \in \mathbb{N}^d$ be a multi-index and let $Y_1, \dots, Y_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$ be iid. d-dimensional random vectors satisfying $\mathbb{E}[|Y_1|^{2\beta}|Y_1|^\gamma] < \infty$ for some $\gamma > 0$. Using the weight function $w(u) = (\log(e + |u|)^{-1/2-\delta}, u \in \mathbb{R}^d$, for some $\delta > 0$, there is a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$\sup_{n\geqslant 1} \mathbb{E}[\|w(u)\partial^\beta C_n(u)\|_\infty] \leqslant C\sqrt{d}(\sqrt{\log d} + 1).$$

Proof. The proof relies on a bracketing entropy argument and we first recall some definitions. For two functions $l, u\colon \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ a bracket is given by $[l, u] := \{f\colon \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R} | l \leqslant f \leqslant u\}$. For a set of functions G the L^2-bracketing number $N_{[]}(\varepsilon, G)$ denotes the minimal number of brackets $[l_k, u_k]$ satisfying $\mathbb{E}[(u_k(Y_1) - l_k(Y_1))^2] \leqslant \varepsilon^2$ which are necessary to cover G. The bracketing integral is given by

$$J_{[]}(\delta, G) := \int_0^\delta \sqrt{N_{[]}(\varepsilon, G)}\mathrm{d}\varepsilon.$$

A function $F\colon \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ is called envelop function of G if $|f| \leqslant F$ for any $f \in G$.

Decomposing C_n into the real and the imaginary part, we consider the set $G_\beta := \{g_u : u \in \mathbb{R}^d\} \cup \{h_u : u \in \mathbb{R}^d\}$ where

$$g_u\colon \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}, y \mapsto w(u)\frac{\partial^\beta}{\partial u^\beta}\cos(\langle u, y\rangle), \quad h_u\colon \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}, y \mapsto w(u)\frac{\partial^\beta}{\partial u^\beta}\sin(\langle u, y\rangle).$$

Noting that G_β has the envelop function $F(y) = |y|^\beta$, Lemma 19.35 in van der Vaart (1998) yields

$$\mathbb{E}[\|w(u)\partial^\beta C_n(u)\|_\infty] \lesssim J_{[]}(\mathbb{E}[F(Y_1)^2], G_\beta).$$

Since the real and the imaginary part can be treated analogously, we concentrate in the following on $\{g_u : u \in \mathbb{R}^d\}$. Owing to $|g_u(y)| \leqslant w(u)|y|^\beta$, we have $\{g_u : |u| > B\} \subseteq [g_0^-, g_0^+]$ for $g_0^\pm(y) := \pm\varepsilon|y|^\beta$ and

$$B := B(\varepsilon) := \inf\{b > 0 : \sup_{|u|\geqslant b} w(u) \leqslant \varepsilon\}$$

To cover $\{g_u : |u| \leqslant B\}$, we define for some grid $(u_j)_{j\geqslant 1} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ and $j \geqslant 1$

$$g_j^{\pm}(y) := \big(w(u_j)\frac{\partial^\beta}{\partial u^\beta}\cos(\langle u_j, y\rangle) \pm \varepsilon|y|^\beta\big)\mathbb{1}_{\{|y|\leqslant M\}} \pm |y|^\beta \mathbb{1}_{\{|y|>M\}}$$

with

$$M := M(\varepsilon) := \inf\big\{m > 0 : \mathbb{E}[|Y_1|^{2\beta}\mathbb{1}_{\{|Y_1|>m\}}] \leqslant \varepsilon^2\big\}.$$

We have $\mathbb{E}[|g_j^+(Y_1) - g_j^-(Y_1)|^2] \leqslant 4\varepsilon^2(\mathbb{E}[|Y_1|^{2\beta}] + 1)$ for $j \geqslant 0$. Denoting the Lipschitz constant of w by L, it holds

$$\big|w(u)\tfrac{\partial^\beta}{\partial u^\beta}\cos(\langle u, y\rangle) - w(u_j)\tfrac{\partial^\beta}{\partial u^\beta}\cos(\langle u_j, y\rangle)\big| \leqslant |y|^\beta\big(L + |y|\big)|u - u_j|.$$

Therefore, $g_u \in [g_j^-, g_j^+]$ if $(L + M)|u - u_j| \leqslant \varepsilon$. Since any (Euklidean) ball in $\mathbb{R}^d$ with radius B can be covered with fewer than $(B/\widetilde{\varepsilon})^d$ cubes with edge length $2\widetilde{\varepsilon}$ and each of these cubes can be covered with a ball of radius $\sqrt{d}\widetilde{\varepsilon}$ (use $|\bullet| \leqslant \sqrt{d}\|\bullet\|_{\ell^\infty}$), we choose $\widetilde{\varepsilon} = \varepsilon d^{-1/2}/(L + M)$ to see that

$$N_{[]}(\varepsilon, G_\beta) \leqslant 2\Big(\frac{\sqrt{d}B(L + M)}{\varepsilon}\Big)^d + 2.$$

By the choice of w it holds $B \leqslant \exp(\varepsilon^{-1/(1/2+\delta)})$ and Markov's inequality yields $M \leqslant (\varepsilon^{-2}\mathbb{E}[|Y_1|^{2\beta}\|Y_1\|^\gamma])^{1/\gamma}$. The bracketing entropy is thus bounded by

$$\log N_{[]}(\varepsilon, G_\beta) \lesssim d(\log d + \varepsilon^{-1/(1/2+\delta)} + \log(\varepsilon^{-2/\gamma-1})) \lesssim d(\log d + \varepsilon^{-2/(1+2\delta)})$$

and the entropy integral can be estimated by

$$J_{[]}(\mathbb{E}[F(Y_1)^2], G_\beta) \lesssim \sqrt{d}\Big(\sqrt{\log d} + \int_0^{\mathbb{E}[|Y_1|^{2\beta}]} \varepsilon^{-1/(1+2\delta)}\mathrm{d}\varepsilon \lesssim \sqrt{d}(\sqrt{\log d} + 1).$$ □

Applying Talagrand's inequality, we conclude the following concentration result, see also Proposition 3.3 in Belomestny et al. (2015, Chap. 1).

Theorem A.2. *Let $Y_1, \dots, Y_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$ be i.i.d. d-dimensional random vectors satisfying $\mathbb{E}[|Y_1|^\gamma] < \infty$ for some $\gamma > 0$. For any $\delta > 0$ there is some numerical constant $c > 0$ independent of d, n, U such that*

$$\mathbb{P}\big(\sup_{|u|\leqslant U}|C_n(u)| \geqslant \kappa\big) \leqslant 2e^{-c\kappa^2},$$

for any $\kappa \in [\sqrt{d}(\sqrt{\log d} + 1)(\log U)^{1/2+\delta}, \sqrt{n}]$.

Proof. We will apply Talagrand's inequality in Bousquet's version (cf. Massart (2007), (5.50)). Let $T \subseteq [-U, U]^d$ be a countable index set. Noting that $Z_{j,u} := n^{-1/2}(e^{i\langle u, Y_j\rangle} - \mathbb{E}[e^{i\langle u, Y_1\rangle}])$ are centred and i.i.d. random variables satisfying $|Z_{k,u}| \leqslant 2n^{-1/2}$, for all $u \in T, k = 1, \dots, n$, as well as $\sup_{u\in T}\mathrm{Var}(\sum_{k=1}^n Z_{k,u}) \leqslant 1$, we have for all $\kappa > 0$

$$P\Big(\sup_{u\in T}\Big|\sum_{k=1}^n Z_{k,u}\Big| \geqslant 4\mathbb{E}\Big[\sup_{u\in T}\Big|\sum_{k=1}^n Z_{k,u}\Big|\Big] + \sqrt{2\kappa} + \frac{4}{3}n^{-1/2}\kappa\Big) \leqslant 2e^{-\kappa}.$$

Proposition A.1 yields $\mathbb{E}[\sup_{u\in T}|\sum_{k=1}^n Z_{k,u}|] \leqslant C(\log U)^{1/2+\delta}\sqrt{d}(\sqrt{\log d} + 1)$ for some $\delta, C > 0$. Choosing $T = \mathbb{Q} \cap [-U, U]^d$, continuity of $u \mapsto Z_{j,u}$ yields

$$\mathbb{P}\big(\sup_{|u|\leqslant U}|C_n(u)| \geqslant C\sqrt{d\log d}(\log U)^{1/2+\delta} + \sqrt{2\kappa} + \frac{4}{3}n^{-1/2}\kappa\Big) \leqslant 2e^{-\kappa}.$$ □

A.2 Mixing sequences

If the sequence is not i.i.d., but only α-mixing, there is no Talagrand-type inequality to work with. At least Merlevède et al. (2009) have proven to following Bernstein-type concentration result. The bound of the constant v^2 has been derived by Belomestny (2011).

Proposition A.3 (Merlevède et al. (2009))**.** *Let $(X_k, k \geq 1)$ be a strongly mixing sequence of centred real-valued random variables on the probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ with mixing coefficients satisfying*

$$\alpha(k) \leqslant \overline{\alpha}_0 \exp(-\overline{\alpha}_1 k), \quad k \geq 1, \quad \overline{\alpha}_0, \overline{\alpha}_1 > 0. \tag{A.1}$$

If $\sup_{k\geq 1} |X_k| \leqslant M$ a.s., then there is a positive constant depending on $\overline{\alpha}_0$, and $\overline{\alpha}_1$ such that

$$\mathbb{P}\Big(\sum_{k=1}^{n} X_k \geq \zeta\Big) \leqslant \exp\left[-\frac{C\zeta^2}{nv^2 + M^2 + M\zeta \log^2(n)}\right].$$

for all $\zeta > 0$ and $n \geq 4$, where

$$v^2 := \sup_k \Big(\mathbb{E}[X_k]^2 + 2\sum_{j\geq k} \mathrm{Cov}(X_k, X_j)\Big).$$

Morover, there is a constant $C' > 0$ such that

$$v^2 \leqslant \sup_k \mathbb{E}[X_k]^2 + C' \sup_k \mathbb{E}\left[X_k^2 \log^{2(1+\varepsilon)}\left(|X_k|^2\right)\right], \tag{A.2}$$

provided the expectations on the right-hand side are finite.

Let Z_j, $j = 1, \ldots, n$, be a sequence of random vectors in $\mathbb{R}^d$ with corresponding empirical characteristic function

$$\varphi_n(u) = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n} \exp(i\langle u, Z_j\rangle), \quad u \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Theorem A.4. *Suppose that the following assumptions hold:*

(AZ1) The sequence $Z_j, j = 1, \ldots, n$, is strictly stationary and α-mixing with mixing coefficients $(\alpha_Z(k))_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ satisfying

$$\alpha_Z(k) \leqslant \overline{\alpha}_0 \exp(-\overline{\alpha}_1 k), \quad k \in \mathbb{N},$$

for some $\overline{\alpha}_0 > 0$ and $\overline{\alpha}_1 > 0$.

(AZ2) It holds $\mathbb{E}[|Z_j|^p] < \infty$ for some $p > 2$.

For arbitrary $\delta > 0$ let the weighting function $w\colon \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}_+$ be given by

$$w(u) = \log^{-(1+\delta)/2}(e + |u|), \quad u \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{A.3}$$

Then there are $\underline{\xi}, \overline{\xi} > 0$ depending only on the characteristics of Z and δ, such that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and for all $\xi \in (\underline{\xi}\sqrt{d\log n}, \overline{\xi}\sqrt{n}/\log^2 n)$ the inequality

$$\mathbb{P}\Big(\sqrt{n}\sup_{u\in\mathbb{R}^d} w(u)|\varphi_n(u) - \mathbb{E}[\varphi_n(u)]| > \xi\Big) \leqslant C(e^{-c\xi^2} + n^{-p/2}) \tag{A.4}$$

holds for constants $C, c > 0$ independent of ξ, n and d.

Proof. We introduce the empirical process

$$\mathcal{W}_n(u) = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n} w(u)\Big(\exp(i\langle u, Z_j\rangle) - \mathbb{E}[\exp(i\langle u, Z_j\rangle)]\Big), \quad u \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Consider the sequence $A_k = e^k$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$. As discussed in the proof of Proposition A.1, we can cover each ball $\{U \in \mathbb{R}^d : |u| < A_k\}$ with $M_k = \big(d^{1/2}A_k)/\gamma\big)^d$ small balls with radius $\gamma > 0$ and some centres $u_{k,1}, \dots, u_{k,M_k}$.

Since $|\mathcal{W}_n(u)| \leqslant 2w(u) \downarrow 0$ as $|u| \to \infty$, there is for any $\lambda > 0$ some finite integer $K = K(\lambda)$ such that $\sup_{|u|>A_k} |\mathcal{W}_n(u)| < \lambda$. For $|u| \leqslant A_k$ we use the bound

$$\begin{aligned}\max_{k=1,\dots,K} \sup_{A_{k-1}<|u|\leqslant A_k} |\mathcal{W}_n(u)| \leqslant & \max_{k=1,\dots,K} \max_{|u_{k,m}|>A_{k-1}} |\mathcal{W}_n(u_{k,m})| \\ & + \max_{k=1,\dots,K} \max_{1\leqslant m\leqslant M_k} \sup_{u:|u-u_{k,m}|\leqslant\gamma} |\mathcal{W}_n(u) - \mathcal{W}_n(u_{k,m})|\end{aligned}$$

to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbb{P}\Big(\sup_{u\in\mathbb{R}^d} |\mathcal{W}_n(u)| > \lambda\Big) \\ &\quad\leqslant \sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{|u_{k,m}|>A_{k-1}} \mathbb{P}(|\mathcal{W}_n(u_{k,m})| > \lambda/2) + \mathbb{P}\Big(\sup_{|u-v|<\gamma} |\mathcal{W}_n(v) - \mathcal{W}_n(u)| > \lambda/2\Big). \qquad \text{(A.5)}\end{aligned}$$

It holds for any $u, v \in \mathbb{R}^d$

$$\begin{aligned}|\mathcal{W}_n(v) - \mathcal{W}_n(u)| \leqslant & 2|w(v) - w(u)| + \frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n} |\exp(i\langle v, Z_j\rangle) - \exp(i\langle u, Z_j\rangle)| \\ & + \frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n} |\mathbb{E}\left[\exp(i\langle v, Z_j\rangle) - \exp(i\langle u, Z_j\rangle)\right]| \\ \leqslant & |u - v|\Big(2L_w + \frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n} |Z_j| + \frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}[|Z_j|]\Big), \qquad \text{(A.6)}\end{aligned}$$

where L_ω is the Lipschitz constant of w. Markov's inequality and the moment inequality by Yokoyama (1980) yield

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}\Big(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}(|Z_j| - \mathbb{E}[|Z_j|]) > c\Big) \leqslant & c^{-p}n^{-p}\mathbb{E}\Big[\Big|\sum_{j=1}^{n}(|Z_j| - \mathbb{E}[|Z_j|])\Big|^p\Big] \\ \leqslant & C_p(\alpha)c^{-p}n^{-p/2}\end{aligned}$$

for any $c > 0$ and where $C_p(\alpha)$ is some constant depending on p and $\alpha = (\overline{\alpha}_0, \overline{\alpha}_1)$ from Assumption (AZ1). In combination with (A.6) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}\Big(\sup_{|u-v|<\gamma} |\mathcal{W}_n(v) - \mathcal{W}_n(u)| > \lambda/2\Big) \leqslant & \mathbb{P}\Big(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}(|Z_j| - \mathbb{E}[|Z_j|]) > \frac{\lambda}{2\gamma} - 2(L_w + \mathbb{E}[|Z_1|])\Big) \\ \leqslant & C_p(\alpha)n^{-p/2}\Big(\frac{\lambda}{2\gamma} - 2(L_w + \mathbb{E}[|Z_1|])\Big)^{-p}.\end{aligned}$$

Setting $\gamma = \lambda/(6(L_\omega + \mathbb{E}[|Z_1|]))$, we conclude

$$P\Big(\sup_{|u-v|<\gamma} |\mathcal{W}_n(v) - \mathcal{W}_n(u)| > \lambda/2\Big) \leqslant B_1 n^{-p/2}$$

with some constant B_1 depending neither on λ nor n.

We turn now to the first term on the right-hand side of (A.5). If $|u_{k,m}| > A_{k-1}$, then it follows from Proposition A.3

$$\mathbb{P}\big(\,|\mathrm{Re}(\mathcal{W}_n(u_{k,m}))| > \lambda/4\big) \leqslant 2\exp\Big(-\frac{B_2\lambda^2 n}{w^2(A_{k-1})\log^{2(1+\delta)}(w(A_{k-1})) + \lambda\log^2(n)w(A_{k-1})}\Big),$$

with some constant $B_2 > 0$ depending only on the characteristics of the process Z and $\delta > 0$. The same bound holds true for $\mathrm{Im}(\mathcal{W}_n(u_{k,m}))$. Choosing $\lambda = \xi n^{-1/2}$ for any $0 < \xi \lesssim \sqrt{n}/\log^2(n)$ and taking into account the choice of γ from above, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{|u_{k,m}|>A_{k-1}} \mathbb{P}(|\mathcal{W}_n(u_{k,m})| > \lambda/2) &\leqslant 4\Big(\frac{d^{1/2}A_k}{\gamma}\Big)^d \exp\Big(-\frac{B_3\lambda^2 n}{w^{2(1+\delta)}(A_{k-1}) + \lambda\log^2(n)w(A_{k-1})}\Big)\\ &\lesssim d^{d/2}A_k^d n^{d/2}\xi^{-d}\exp\Big(-\frac{B\xi^2}{w^{2(1+\delta)}(A_{k-1})}\Big)\end{aligned}$$

with positive constants B_3, B. Fix $\vartheta > 0$ such that $B\vartheta = 2d$ and compute

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{|u_{k,m}|>A_{k-1}} \mathbb{P}(\sqrt{n}|\mathcal{W}_n(u_{k,m})| > \xi/2) &\lesssim d^{d/2}\xi^{-d}e^{dk-\vartheta B(k-1)}n^{d/2}e^{-B(k-1)(\xi^2-\vartheta)}\\ &\leqslant d^{d/2}e^{2d}\xi^{-d}e^{k(d-\vartheta B)}e^{-B(k-1)(\xi^2-\vartheta)+d\log(n)/2}.\end{aligned}$$

If $\xi^2 > \vartheta$ we obtain for any $K > 0$

$$\sum_{k=2}^{K}\sum_{|u_{k,m}|>A_{k-1}} \mathbb{P}(\sqrt{n}|\mathcal{W}_n(u_{k,m})| > \xi/2) \lesssim (d^{1/2}e^4)^d\xi^{-d}e^{-(B\xi^2 - d\log(n)/2)}.$$

On the interval $\xi \in (\underline{\xi}\sqrt{d\log n}, \overline{\xi}\sqrt{n}/\log^2 n)$ for appropriate $\underline{\xi}, \overline{\xi} > 0$, we thus get (A.4). □

References

Agarwal, A., Negahban, S., and Wainwright, M. J. (2012). Noisy matrix decomposition via convex relaxation: optimal rates in high dimensions. *Ann. Statist.*, 40(2):1171–1197.

Aït-Sahalia, Y. and Mykland, P. A. (2003). The effects of random and discrete sampling when estimating continuous–time diffusions. *Econometrica*, 71(2):483–549.

Barndorff-Nielsen, O. E. (1997). Processes of normal inverse gaussian type. *Finance and stochastics*, 2(1):41–68.

Barndorff-Nielsen, Ole E. and Shiryaev, A.N. (2010). *Change of Time and Change of Measure*. World Scientific.

Basawa, I. V. and Brockwell, P. J. (1982). Non-parametric estimation for non-decreasing Lévy processes. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society. Series B (Methodological)*, pages 262–269.

Belomestny, D. (2011). Statistical inference for time-changed Lévy processes via composite characteristic function estimation. *Ann. Statist.*, 39(4):2205–2242.

Belomestny, D., Comte, F., Genon-Catalot, V., Masuda, H., and Rei, M. (2015). *Lévy matters. IV*, volume 2128 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer. Estimation for Discretely Observed Lévy Processes.

Belomestny, D. and Panov, V. (2013). Estimation of the activity of jumps in time-changed Lévy models. *Electron. J. Stat.*, 7:2970–3003.

Belomestny, D. and Reiß, M. (2006). Spectral calibration of exponential lévy models. *Finance and Stochastics*, 10(4):449–474.

Bibinger, M., Hautsch, N., Malec, P., and Reiss, M. (2014). Estimating the quadratic covariation matrix from noisy observations: local method of moments and efficiency. *Ann. Statist.*, 42(4):80–114.

Bücher, A. and Vetter, M. (2013). Nonparametric inference on Lévy measures and copulas. *Ann. Statist.*, 41(3):1485–1515.

Bull, A. (2014). Estimating time-changes in noisy Lévy models. *Ann. Statist.*, 42(5):2026–2057.

Carr, P., Geman, H., Madan, D. B., and Yor, M. (2003). Stochastic volatility for lévy processes. *Mathematical Finance*, 13(3):345–382.

Chorowski, J. and Trabs, M. (2015). Spectral estimation for diffusions with random sampling times. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1503.00466*.

Jacod, J. and Podolskij, M. (2013). A test for the rank of the volatility process: the random perturbation approach. *Ann. Statist.*, 41(5):2391–2427.

Jacod, J. and Reiß, M. (2014). A remark on the rates of convergence for integrated volatility estimation in the presence of jumps. *Ann. Statist.*, 42(3):1131–1144.

Koltchinskii, V., Lounici, K., and Tsybakov, A. B. (2011). Nuclear-norm penalization and optimal rates for noisy low-rank matrix completion. *The Annals of Statistics*, 39(5):2302–2329.

Massart, P. (2007). *Concentration inequalities and model selection*, volume 1896 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer, Berlin.

Masuda, H. (2007). Ergodicity and exponential β-mixing bounds for multidimensional diffusions with jumps. *Stochastic processes and their applications*, 117(1):35–56.

Merlevède, F., Peligrad, M., and Rio, E. (2009). Bernstein inequality and moderate deviations under strong mixing conditions. In *High dimensional probability V: the Luminy volume*, volume 5 of *Inst. Math. Stat. Collect.*, pages 273–292. Inst. Math. Statist., Beachwood, OH.

Monroe, I. (1978). Processes that can be embedded in Brownian motion. *The Annals of Probability*, 6:42–56.

Negahban, S. and Wainwright, M. J. (2011). Estimation of (near) low-rank matrices with noise and high-dimensional scaling. *Ann. Statist.*, 39(2):1069–1097.

Neumann, M. H. and Reiß, M. (2009). Nonparametric estimation for Lévy processes from low-frequency observations. *Bernoulli*, 15(1):223–248.

Nickl, R., Reiß, M., Söhl, J., and Trabs, M. (2015). High-frequency donsker theorems for lévy measures. *Probability Theory and Related Fields*.

Recht, B. (2011). A simpler approach to matrix completion. *J. Mach. Learn. Res.*, 12:3413–3430.

Rohde, A. and Tsybakov, A. B. (2011). Estimation of high-dimensional low-rank matrices. *The Annals of Statistics*, 39(2):887–930.

Rubin, H. and Tucker, H. (1959). Estimating the parameters of a differential process. *The Annals of Mathematical Statistics*, 30:641–658.

Sato, K.-i. (2013). *Lévy processes and infinitely divisible distributions*, volume 68 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge. Translated from the 1990 Japanese original, Revised edition of the 1999 English translation.

Tao, M., Wang, Y., Yao, Q., and Zou, J. (2011). Large volatility matrix inference via combining low-frequency and high-frequency approaches. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 106(495):1025–1040.

Tao, M., Wang, Y., and Zhou, H. H. (2013). Optimal sparse volatility matrix estimation for high-dimensional Itô processes with measurement errors. *The Annals of Statistics*, 41(4):1816–1864.

Tsybakov, A. B. (2009). *Introduction to nonparametric estimation*. Springer Series in Statistics. Springer, New York. Revised and extended from the 2004 French original, Translated by Vladimir Zaiats.

van der Vaart, A. W. (1998). *Asymptotic statistics*, volume 3 of *Cambridge Series in Statistical and Probabilistic Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.

Veraart, A. and Winkel, M. (2010). Time change. In R.Cont, editor, *Encyclpedia of quantitative finance*. Wiley.

Wang, Y. and Zou, J. (2010). Vast volatility matrix estimation for high-frequency financial data. *The Annals of Statistics*, 38(2):943–978.

Watson, G. A. (1992). Characterization of the subdifferential of some matrix norms. *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, 170:33–45.

Yokoyama, R. (1980). Moment bounds for stationary mixing sequences. *Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete*, 52(1):45–57.